# UNIQUENESS THEOREMS FOR PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF CERTAIN FOURTH AND FIFTH ORDER DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS

## BY

# ERCAN TUNÇ

#### Abstract

In this paper, we establish sufficient conditions which guarantee the existence at the most one  $\omega$ -periodic solution for certain two class of fourth and fifth order differential equations. Our results extend some well-known results carried out in the relevant literature.

#### 1. Introduction and Statement of the Result

We consider fourth and fifth order nonlinear vector differential equations

$$X^{(4)} + A_1 \ddot{X} + F(\ddot{X}) + A_3 \dot{X} + G(X) = P_1(t), \qquad (1.1)$$

and

$$X^{(5)} + B_1 X^{(4)} + B_2 \ddot{X} + \Phi(\ddot{X}) + B_4 \dot{X} + H(X) = P_2(t), \qquad (1.2)$$

in the real Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (with the usual norm denoted in what follows by  $\|.\|$ ) where  $A_1$ ,  $A_3$ ,  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$ ,  $B_4$  are constant  $n \times n$ - matrices; F, G,  $\Phi$ ,  $H \in C^1[\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n]$  and  $P_1, P_2 \in C^0[\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n]$ . The matrices  $A_1, A_3, B_1, B_2$  and  $B_4$  that appeared in (1.1) and (1.2) are symmetric and the functions  $P_1, P_2$ are both  $\omega$ -periodic in t, that is  $P_i(t + \omega) = P_i(t)$ , (i = 1, 2), for some  $\omega > 0$  and all  $t > 0, t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $J_f(\ddot{X}), J_g(X), J_\phi(\ddot{X}), J_h(X)$  denote the

Received May 24, 2006 and in revised form September 20, 2006.

AMS Classification numbers. 34D20, 34C25, 34A34.

Key words and phrases: Nonlinear differential equations of fourth and fifth order, Periodic solution.

ERCAN TUNC

Jacobian matrices corresponding to the functions  $F(\ddot{X}), G(X), \Phi(\ddot{X}), H(X)$ respectively, that is  $J_f(\ddot{X}) = (\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \dot{x}_j}), J_g(X) = (\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_j}), J_\phi(\ddot{X}) = (\frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial \dot{x}_j}), J_h(X) = (\frac{\partial h_i}{\partial x_j})$  where  $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n), (\ddot{x}_1, \ddot{x}_2, \ldots, \ddot{x}_n), (f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n), (g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n), (\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_n)$  and  $(h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n)$  are the components of  $X, \ddot{X}, F, G, \Phi$ and H, respectively. It will be further assumed as basic throughout the paper that  $J_f(\ddot{X}), J_g(X), J_\phi(\ddot{X}), J_h(X)$  are symmetric (for arbitrary  $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ), so that their eigenvalues, which we denote respectively by  $\lambda_i(J_g(X)), \lambda_i(J_h(X)), (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$ , are all real.

In 1983, Ezeilo [5] discussed the existence of periodic solutions of the non-linear vector differential equations

$$X^{(4)} + A_1 \ddot{X} + A_2 \ddot{X} + A_3 \dot{X} + G(X) = P_1(t)$$

and

$$X^{(5)} + B_1 X^{(4)} + B_2 \ddot{X} + B_3 \ddot{X} + B_4 \dot{X} + H(X) = P_2(t).$$

According to the our observations in the relevant literature, we did not find another research with respect to the continuation of results established by Ezeilo [5]. It should be noticed that our results extend that obtained in [5]. However, till now, in a sequence of the works periodic properties for various third-, fourth-, fifth-, sixth-, seventh and eighth order certain nonlinear differential equations have been the subject of many investigations. (See, for example, Ezeilo ([4], [5]), Tejumola [9], Tunç ([13], [14], [15], [16]), and the references cited therein.)

We establish the following results.

**Theorem 1.** In addition to the fundamental assumptions imposed F and G in (1.1), suppose that following condition are satisfied:

Let  $\delta_0 = \max_{i,j} \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \ddot{x}_j} \right|$  where  $J_f(\ddot{X}) = \left( \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \ddot{x}_j} \right)$ , and suppose that there exists a constant  $\alpha_1 > \frac{1}{4} n^2 \delta_0^2$  such that

$$\lambda_i(J_q(X)) \ge \alpha_1 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ and for arbitrary } X \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$
 (1.3)

Then there exists at most one  $\omega$ -periodic solution of (1.1).

**Theorem 2.** Assume that  $B_1$  is definite (positive or negative) and let

$$\beta_1 = \inf_i \lambda_i(B_1) \quad or \quad -\sup_i \lambda_i(B_1),$$

according as  $B_1$  is positive or negative definite, where  $\lambda_i(B_1)$  (i = 1, ..., n)are the eigenvalues of  $B_1$ . Let

$$\gamma_0 = \max_{i,j} \left| \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial \ddot{x}_j} \right|, \text{ where } J_{\phi}(\ddot{X}) = (\frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial \ddot{x}_j}).$$

Suppose that there exists a constant  $\beta_2 > \frac{1}{4}n^2\gamma_0^2\beta_1^{-1}$  such that

$$k_1 \lambda_i (J_h(X)) \ge \beta_2 \tag{1.4}$$

where

$$k_1 = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } B_1 \text{ is positive definite} \\ -1, & \text{if } B_1 \text{ is negative definite.} \end{cases}$$

Then there exists at most one  $\omega$ -periodic solution of (1.2).

We need the following algebraic result

**Lemma.** Let A be a real symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix and

$$a' \ge \lambda_i(A) \ge a > 0$$
  $(i = 1, 2, ..., n)$ , where  $a'$ , a are constants.

Then

 $a'\langle X,X\rangle \geq \langle AX,X\rangle \geq a\langle X,X\rangle$ 

and

$$a'^2 \left< X, X \right> \ \geq \ \left< AX, AX \right> \geq a^2 \left< X, X \right>.$$

*Proof.* See [17].

## 2. Proof of the Theorem 1

Let  $X_1(t)$ ,  $X_2(t)$  be any two solutions of (1.1) and set

$$Y(t) = X_2(t) - X_1(t).$$

[September

Then Y = Y(t) satisfies the differential equation

$$Y^{(4)} + A_1 \ddot{Y} + S(t) \ddot{Y} + A_3 \dot{Y} + R(t)Y = 0$$
(2.1)

where the matrices R(t) and S(t) here are defined by

$$R(t) = \int_0^1 J_g(X_1(t) + \sigma(X_2(t) - X_1(t))) d\sigma, \qquad (2.2)$$

$$S(t) = \int_0^1 J_f(\ddot{X}_1(t) + \sigma(\ddot{X}_2(t) - \ddot{X}_1(t))) d\sigma, \qquad (2.3)$$

respectively. If  $\langle . \rangle$ , here and in what follows, denotes the usual scalar product in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , that is  $\langle U, V \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i v_i$  where  $(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n)$ ,  $(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)$ are the respective components of  $U, V \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , it is clear, from the fact of  $J_g(X), J_f(\ddot{X})$  being symmetric for all  $X, \ddot{X}$ , that  $\mathbb{R}(t), S(t)$  are symmetric and then from conditions of theorem that

$$\langle R(t)U,U\rangle \ge \alpha_1 \|U\|^2 \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\langle S(t)V,W\rangle \geq -\delta_0 n \|V\| \|W\| \tag{2.5}$$

for all t and for arbitrary  $U, V, W \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , respectively.

We shall now prove that, subject to (2.4) and (2.5), the equation (2.1) has no nontrivial  $\omega$ -periodic solutions, which will thereby verify the theorem.

Let then Y = Y(t) be an  $\omega$ -periodic solution of (2.1) and consider the scalar function  $\theta = \theta(t)$  defined by

$$\theta = \left\langle \dot{Y}, \ddot{Y} \right\rangle - \left\langle Y, \ddot{Y} \right\rangle - \left\langle Y, A_1 \ \ddot{Y} \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \left\langle Y, A_3 Y \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \dot{Y}, A_1 \ \dot{Y} \right\rangle.$$

We have, by an elementary differentiation, that

$$\dot{\theta} = \parallel \ddot{Y} \parallel^2 + \left\langle S(t)Y, \ddot{Y} \right\rangle + \left\langle R(t)Y, Y \right\rangle$$

thus

$$\dot{\theta} \geq \| \ddot{Y} \|^{2} + \alpha_{1} \| Y \|^{2} - \delta_{0} n \| Y \| \| \ddot{Y} \|$$

$$= \left( \| \ddot{Y} \| - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{0} n \| Y \| \right)^{2} + \left( \alpha_{1} - \frac{1}{4} \delta_{0}^{2} n^{2} \right) \| Y \|^{2} \geq 0,$$

$$(2.6)$$

since

$$\alpha_1 > \frac{1}{4}n^2\delta_0^2$$

Thus  $\theta(t)$  is nondecreasing in t, and, being bounded (in view of the continuity and the assumed  $\omega$ -periodicity of Y(t)), it therefore tends to a unique limit as  $t \to \infty$ . In particular, since

$$\theta(t) = \theta(t + N\omega) \tag{2.7}$$

for arbitrary t and for any integer N, it follows then on letting  $N \to \infty$  in (2.7) that  $\theta(t) = \text{constant}$ , and therefore that

$$\theta(t) = 0 \tag{2.8}$$

for all t. It is clear from (2.6) and (2.8) that

$$Y(t) \equiv 0$$
 for all  $t$ 

and the theorem now follows.

# 3. Proof of the Theorem 2

The procedure here is similar to that used above in section 2. If  $X_1(t)$ ,  $X_2(t)$  are any two solutions of (1.2), then  $Y = Y(t) = X_2(t) - X_1(t)$  satisfies the equation

$$Y^{(5)} + B_1 Y^{(4)} + B_2 \ddot{Y} + M(t) \ddot{Y} + B_4 \dot{Y} + N(t)Y = 0$$
(3.1)

where N(t) and M(t) are the symmetric matrices defined by

$$N(t) = \int_0^1 J_h \Big( X_1(t) + \sigma (X_2(t) - X_1(t)) \Big) d\sigma$$
 (3.2)

and

$$M(t) = \int_0^1 J_\phi \left( \ddot{X}_1(t) + \sigma (\ddot{X}_2(t) - \ddot{X}_1(t)) \right) d\sigma,$$
(3.3)

respectively.

801

[September

If (1.4) holds, then

$$k_1 \langle N(t)U, U \rangle \ge \beta_2 ||U||^2$$
 for all t and for arbitrary  $U \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ; (3.4)

and the objective once again will be to show that, subject to (3.4), there are no nontrivial  $\omega$ -periodic solutions whatever of (3.1).

Let then Y = Y(t) be any  $\omega$ -periodic solution of (3.1) and consider the scalar function  $\psi = \psi(t)$  defined by

$$\psi = \left\langle \dot{Y}, \ddot{Y} \right\rangle + \left\langle \dot{Y}, B_1 \ddot{Y} \right\rangle - \left\langle Y, \ddot{Y} + B_1 \ddot{Y} + B_2 \ddot{Y} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle B_2 \dot{Y}, \dot{Y} \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \ddot{Y}, \ddot{Y} \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \left\langle B_4 Y, Y \right\rangle.$$

It is a straightforward matter to verify that

$$\dot{\psi} = \left\langle B_1 \ \ddot{Y}, \ddot{Y} \right\rangle + \left\langle N(t)Y, Y \right\rangle + \left\langle M(t) \ \ddot{Y}, Y \right\rangle,$$

so that, by (3.3) and the definition of  $\gamma_0$ ,

$$\dot{\psi} \geq \beta_1 \| \ddot{Y} \|^2 + \beta_2 \| Y \|^2 - \gamma_0 n \| \ddot{Y} \| \| Y \|$$

$$= \beta_1 \left( \| \ddot{Y} \| - \frac{1}{2} n \gamma_0 \beta_1^{-1} \| Y \| \right)^2 + \left( \beta_2 - \frac{1}{4} n^2 \gamma_0^2 \beta_1^{-1} \right) \| Y \|^2$$

$$(3.5)$$

if  $B_1$  is positive definite, and

$$\dot{\psi} \leq -\beta_1 \| \ddot{Y} \|^2 - \beta_2 \| Y \|^2 + \gamma_0 n \| \ddot{Y} \| \| Y \|$$

$$= -\beta_1 \left( \| \ddot{Y} \| -\frac{1}{2} n \gamma_0 \beta_1^{-1} \| Y \| \right)^2 - \left( \beta_2 - \frac{1}{4} n^2 \gamma_0^2 \beta_1^{-1} \right) \| Y \|^2$$

$$(3.6)$$

if  $B_1$  is negative definite. Thus, since  $\beta_2 > \frac{1}{4}n^2\gamma_0^2\beta_1^{-1}$ ,  $\psi(t)$  is monotone (increasing or decreasing according as  $B_1$  is positive or negative definite) in t, and, being bounded, thus tends to a limit as  $t \to \infty$ . As before this implies that  $\psi(t) = \text{constant}$  for all t, and in turn, therefore, that

$$\psi(t) = 0 \text{ for all } t. \tag{3.7}$$

It is evident from (3.5)-(3.7) that Y(t) = 0 for all t, and the theorem follows.

**Remark.** In the special case when the matrix  $J_f(\ddot{X}) = \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \ddot{x}_j}\right)$  is diagonal, the estimate (2.6) can be readily refined to

$$\dot{\theta} \ge \left( \parallel \ddot{Y} \parallel -\frac{1}{2}\delta_0 \parallel Y \parallel \right)^2 + \left(\alpha_1 - \frac{1}{4}\delta_0^2\right) \parallel Y \parallel^2$$

so that Theorem 1 holds here subject to the weaker condition  $\alpha_1 > \frac{1}{4}\delta_0^2$  on G.

Similarly if the matrix  $J_{\phi}(\ddot{X}) = (\frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial \ddot{x}_j})$  is diagonal, the estimates (3.5) and (3.6) can be relaxed respectively to

$$\begin{split} \dot{\psi} &\geq \beta_1 \left( \| \ddot{Y} \| - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_0 \beta_1^{-1} \| Y \| \right)^2 + \left( \beta_2 - \frac{1}{4} \gamma_0^2 \beta_1^{-1} \right) \| Y \|^2, \\ \dot{\psi} &\leq -\beta_1 \left( \| \ddot{Y} \| - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_0 \beta_1^{-1} \| Y \| \right)^2 - \left( \beta_2 - \frac{1}{4} \gamma_0^2 \beta_1^{-1} \right) \| Y \|^2 \end{split}$$

so that Theorem 2 in this case holds subject to the weaker condition  $\beta_2 > \frac{1}{4}\gamma_0^2\beta_1^{-1}$ .

## Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for his/her valuable suggestions and comments that helped improving the original manuscript.

### References

 J. Andres and J. Voracek, Periodic solutions to a nonlinear parametric differential equation of the third order, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur.* (8), 77 (1984), 3-4, (1985), 81-86.

2. E. A. Barbasin, The stability in the large of the zero solution of a certain third order nonlinear equation, *Differ. Uravn.*, **5**(1969), 424-429.

3. J. O. C. Ezeilo, A generalization of a Theorem of Reissig for a certain third order differential equation, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, **87**(1970), 349-356.

4. J. O. C. Ezeilo, A further result on the existence of periodic solutions of the equation  $\ddot{x} + \psi(\dot{x}) \ddot{x} + \phi(x) \dot{x} + \theta(t, x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}) = p(t)$  with a bounded  $\theta$ , *Lincei-Rend. Sc. Fis. Mat. Nat.*, **LXV** (1978), 51-57.

5. J. O. C. Ezeilo, Uniqueness theorems for periodic solutions of certain fourth and fifth order differential systems. J. Nigerian Math. Soc., **2**(1983), 55-59.

6. A. M. Lyapunov, Stability of Motion, Academic Press, 1966, p.203.

7. R. Reissig, G. Sansone and R. Conti, *Non-linear Differential Equations of Higher Order*, Translated from the German, Noordhoff International Publishing, Leyden, 1974.

8. W. A. Skrapek, Instability results for fourth-order differential equations. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, **85**(1980), No.3-4, 247-250.

9. H. O. Tejumola, Instability and periodic solutions of certain nonlinear differential equations of orders six and seven, *Ordinary Differential Equations* (Abuja, 2000), 56-65, *Proc. Natl. Math. Cent. Abuja Niger.*, 1.1, *Natl. Math. Cent., Abuja*, 2000.

 C. Tunç, Boundedness of solutions of a third-order nonlinear differential equation, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., 6(2005), No.1, Art. 3.

11. C. Tunç, A global stability result for a certain system of fifth order nonlinear differential equations, *Acta Comment. Univ. Tartu. Math.*, **2**(1988), 3-13.

12. C. Tunç, An instability theorem for a certain vector differential equation of the fourth order, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **5**(2004), No.1, Art. 5.

13. E. Tunç, Instability of solutions of certain nonlinear vector differential equations of third order, *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, **2005**(2005), No.51, 1-6.

14. E. Tunç, On the periodic solutions of a certain vector differential equation of eighth-order, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math., **11**(2005), No.1, 61-66.

15. E. Tunç, On the periodic solutions of certain fourth and fifth order vector differential equations. *Math. Commun.*, **10**(2005), No.2, 135-141.

16. E. Tunç, A result about periodic solutions of a certain class of seventh-order vector differential equations. *Analele Universitatii Din Timisoara*, (in press).

17. C. Tunç, An instability result for certain system of sixth order differential equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **157**(2004), No.2, 477-481.

Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Mathematics, Gaziosmanpaşa University, 60250, Tokat, TURKEY.

E-mail: ercantunc72@yahoo.com