

ON A CLASS OF MULTIVALENT ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH AN INTEGRAL OPERATOR

BY

JIN-LIN LIU

Abstract

The object of the present paper is to introduce and study a new class of multivalent analytic functions associated with an integral operator Q_β^α which was investigated recently by Jung, Kim and Srivastava [J.Math.Anal.Appl. 176(1993), 138-147].

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $A(p)$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n+p} \quad (p \in N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}), \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $U = \{z : z \in C \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$.

Suppose that $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are analytic in U . We say that the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in U , and we write $f(z) \prec g(z)$ ($z \in U$), if there exists an analytic function $w(z)$ in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in U$, such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$ ($z \in U$). If $g(z)$ is univalent in U , then the following equivalence relationship holds true.

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(U) \subset g(U).$$

Received June 11, 2008 and in revised form March 03, 2009.

AMS Subject Classification: 30C45.

Key words and phrases: Analytic function, multivalent function, integral operator, convex univalent function, Hadamard product (or convolution), subordination.

For functions $f_j(z) \in A(p)$ ($j = 1, 2$) given by

$$f_j(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n,j} z^{n+p} \quad (j = 1, 2),$$

we define the Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$ by

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n,1} a_{n,2} z^{n+p} = (f_2 * f_1)(z).$$

Recently, Jung, Kim and Srivastava [3] introduced the following integral operator $Q_\beta^\alpha : A(1) \rightarrow A(1)$:

$$Q_\beta^\alpha f(z) = \binom{\alpha + \beta}{\alpha} \frac{\alpha}{z^\beta} \int_0^z \left(1 - \frac{t}{z}\right)^{\alpha-1} t^{\beta-1} f(t) dt$$

$$(\alpha > 0, \beta > -1; f(z) \in A(1)). \quad (1.2)$$

Some interesting subclasses of analytic functions, associated with the operator Q_β^α , have been considered by Jung et al. [3], Aouf et al. [1], Liu [4, 6, 7], Liu and Owa [5] and others.

Motivated by Jung, Kim and Srivastava's work [3], we consider a linear operator $Q_\beta^\alpha : A(p) \rightarrow A(p)$ as following:

$$Q_\beta^\alpha f(z) = \binom{p + \alpha + \beta - 1}{p + \beta - 1} \frac{\alpha}{z^\beta} \int_0^z \left(1 - \frac{t}{z}\right)^{\alpha-1} t^{\beta-1} f(t) dt$$

$$(\alpha \geq 0, \beta > -1; f(z) \in A(p)). \quad (1.3)$$

It is easily verified from the definition (1.3) that

$$z(Q_\beta^\alpha f(z))' = (\alpha + \beta + p - 1)Q_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z) - (\alpha + \beta - 1)Q_\beta^\alpha f(z). \quad (1.4)$$

Let P be the class of functions $h(z)$ with $h(0) = 1$, which are analytic and convex univalent in U .

Now we introduce the following subclass of $A(p)$ associated with the operator Q_β^α .

Definition. A function $f(z) \in A(p)$ is said to be in the class $M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda; h)$ if it satisfies the subordination condition

$$(1 - \lambda)z^{-p}Q_\beta^\alpha f(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p}z^{-p+1}(Q_\beta^\alpha f(z))' \prec h(z), \quad (1.5)$$

where λ is a complex number and $h(z) \in P$.

A function $f(z) \in A(1)$ is said to be in the class $S^*(\rho)$ if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \rho \quad (z \in U) \quad (1.6)$$

for some ρ ($\rho < 1$). When $0 \leq \rho < 1$, $S^*(\rho)$ is the class of starlike functions of order ρ in U . A function $f(z) \in A(1)$ is said to be prestarlike of order ρ in U if

$$\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2(1-\rho)}} * f(z) \in S^*(\rho) \quad (\rho < 1). \quad (1.7)$$

We note this class by $R(\rho)$ (see [10]). Clearly a function $f(z) \in A(1)$ is in the class $R(0)$ if and only if $f(z)$ is convex univalent in U and

$$R\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = S^*\left(\frac{1}{2}\right).$$

We need the following lemmas in order to derive our main results for the class $M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda; h)$.

Lemma 1. Let $g(z)$ be analytic in U and $h(z)$ be analytic and convex univalent in U with $h(0) = g(0)$. If

$$g(z) + \frac{1}{\mu}zg'(z) \prec h(z), \quad (1.8)$$

where $\operatorname{Re}\mu \geq 0$ and $\mu \neq 0$, then

$$g(z) \prec \tilde{h}(z) = \mu z^{-\mu} \int_0^z t^{\mu-1} h(t) dt \prec h(z)$$

and $\tilde{h}(z)$ is the best dominant of (1.8).

Lemma 2. *Let $\rho < 1$, $f(z) \in S^*(\rho)$ and $g(z) \in R(\rho)$. Then, for any analytic function $F(z)$ in U ,*

$$\frac{g * (fF)}{g * f}(U) \subset \overline{\text{co}}(F(U)),$$

where $\overline{\text{co}}(F(U))$ denotes the closed convex hull of $F(U)$.

Lemma 1 is due to Miller and Mocanu [9] (see also [2]) and Lemma 2 can be found in Ruscheweyh [10].

Lemma 3.(see [8]) *Let $g(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ ($k \in N$) be analytic in U . If $\text{Re}\{g(z)\} > 0$ ($z \in U$), then*

$$\text{Re}\{g(z)\} \geq \frac{1 - |z|^k}{1 + |z|^k} \quad (k \in N; z \in U).$$

2. Main Results

Theorem 1. *Let $0 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$. Then*

$$M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda_2; h) \subset M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda_1; h).$$

Proof. Let $0 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ and suppose that

$$g(z) = z^{-p} Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z) \tag{2.1}$$

for $f(z) \in M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda_2; h)$. Then the function $g(z)$ is analytic in U with $g(0) = 1$. Differentiating both sides of (2.1) with respect to z and using (1.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \lambda_2)z^{-p} Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z) + \frac{\lambda_2}{p} z^{-p+1} (Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z))' \\ &= g(z) + \frac{\lambda_2}{p} z g'(z) \prec h(z). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Hence an application of Lemma 1 yields

$$g(z) \prec h(z). \tag{2.3}$$

Noting that $0 \leq \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} < 1$ and that $h(z)$ is convex univalent in U , it follows from (2.1) to (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \lambda_1)z^{-p}Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}f(z) + \frac{\lambda_1}{p}z^{-p+1}(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}f(z))' \\ &= \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \left((1 - \lambda_2)z^{-p}Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}f(z) + \frac{\lambda_2}{p}z^{-p+1}(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}f(z))' \right) + \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \right) g(z) \\ &< h(z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f(z) \in M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda_1; h)$ and the proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

Theorem 2. Let $\lambda > 0, \gamma > 0$ and $f(z) \in M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda; \gamma h + 1 - \gamma)$. If $\gamma \leq \gamma_0$, where

$$\gamma_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{p}{\lambda} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{\frac{p}{\lambda}-1}}{1+u} du \right)^{-1}, \quad (2.4)$$

then $f(z) \in M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(0; h)$. The bound γ_0 is sharp when $h(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}$.

Proof. Let us define

$$g(z) = z^{-p}Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}f(z) \quad (2.5)$$

for $f(z) \in M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda; \gamma h + 1 - \gamma)$ with $\lambda > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p}zg'(z) &= (1 - \lambda)z^{-p}Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}f(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p}z^{-p+1}(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}f(z))' \\ &< \gamma h(z) + 1 - \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Hence an application of Lemma 1 yields

$$g(z) < \frac{\gamma p}{\lambda} z^{-\frac{p}{\lambda}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{p}{\lambda}} h(t) dt + 1 - \gamma = (h * \psi)(z), \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$\psi(z) = \frac{\gamma p}{\lambda} z^{-\frac{p}{\lambda}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{p}{\lambda}-1}}{1-t} dt + 1 - \gamma. \quad (2.7)$$

If $0 < \gamma \leq \gamma_0$, where $\gamma_0 > 1$ is given by (2.4), then it follows from (2.7)

that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\psi(z) &= \frac{\gamma p}{\lambda} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{p}{\lambda}-1} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{1-uz} \right) du + 1 - \gamma \\ &> \frac{\gamma p}{\lambda} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{\frac{p}{\lambda}-1}}{1+u} du + 1 - \gamma \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \quad (z \in U). \end{aligned}$$

Now, by using the Herglotz representation for $\psi(z)$, from (2.5) and (2.6) we arrive at

$$z^{-p} Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z) \prec (h * \psi)(z) \prec h(z)$$

because $h(z)$ is convex univalent in U . This shows that $f(z) \in M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(0; h)$.

For $h(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}$ and $f(z) \in A(p)$ defined by

$$z^{-p} Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z) = \frac{\gamma p}{\lambda} z^{-\frac{p}{\lambda}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{p}{\lambda}-1}}{1-t} dt + 1 - \gamma,$$

it is easy to verify that

$$(1 - \lambda) z^{-p} Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p} z^{-p+1} (Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z))' = \gamma h(z) + 1 - \gamma.$$

Thus $f(z) \in M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda; \gamma h + 1 - \gamma)$. Also, for $\gamma > \gamma_0$, we have

$$\operatorname{Re}\{z^{-p} Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z)\} \rightarrow \frac{\gamma p}{\lambda} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{\frac{p}{\lambda}-1}}{1+u} du + 1 - \gamma < \frac{1}{2} \quad (z \rightarrow -1),$$

which implies that $f(z) \notin M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(0; h)$. Hence the bound γ_0 cannot be increased when $h(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}$.

Theorem 3. Let $f(z) \in M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda; h)$,

$$g(z) \in A(p) \text{ and } \operatorname{Re}\{z^{-p} g(z)\} > \frac{1}{2} \quad (z \in U). \quad (2.8)$$

Then

$$(f * g)(z) \in M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda; h).$$

Proof. For $f(z) \in M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda; h)$ and $g(z) \in A(p)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \lambda)z^{-p}Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}(f * g)(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p}z^{-p+1}(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}(f * g)(z))' \\ &= (1 - \lambda)(z^{-p}g(z)) * (z^{-p}Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}f(z)) + \frac{\lambda}{p}(z^{-p}g(z)) * (z^{-p+1}(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}f(z))') \\ &= (z^{-p}g(z)) * \psi(z), \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\psi(z) = (1 - \lambda)z^{-p}Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}f(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p}z^{-p+1}(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}f(z))' \prec h(z). \quad (2.10)$$

In view of (2.8), the function $z^{-p}g(z)$ has the Herglotz representation

$$z^{-p}g(z) = \int_{|x|=1} \frac{d\mu(x)}{1 - xz} \quad (z \in U), \quad (2.11)$$

where $\mu(x)$ is a probability measure defined on the unit circle $|x| = 1$ and

$$\int_{|x|=1} d\mu(x) = 1.$$

Since $h(z)$ is convex univalent in U , it follows from (2.9) to (2.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \lambda)z^{-p}Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}(f * g)(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p}z^{-p+1}(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}(f * g)(z))' \\ &= \int_{|x|=1} \psi(xz)d\mu(x) \prec h(z). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $(f * g)(z) \in M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda; h)$ and the theorem is proved.

Corollary 1. Let $f(z) \in M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda; h)$ be given by (1.1) and let

$$s_m(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} a_n z^{n+p} \quad (m \in N \setminus \{1\}).$$

Then the function

$$\sigma_m(z) = \int_0^1 t^{-p} s_m(tz) dt$$

is also in the class $M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda; h)$.

Proof. We have

$$\sigma_m(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \frac{a_n}{n+1} z^{n+p} = (f * g_m)(z) \quad (m \in N \setminus \{1\}), \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n+p} \in M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda; h)$$

and

$$g_m(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \frac{z^{n+p}}{n+1} \in A(p).$$

Also, for $m \in N \setminus \{1\}$, it is known from [11] that

$$\operatorname{Re}\{z^{-p}g_m(z)\} = \operatorname{Re}\left\{1 + \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \frac{z^n}{n+1}\right\} > \frac{1}{2} \quad (z \in U). \quad (2.13)$$

In view of (2.12) and (2.13), an application of Theorem 3 leads to $\sigma_m(z) \in M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda; h)$.

Theorem 4. Let $f(z) \in M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda; h)$,

$$g(z) \in A(p) \text{ and } z^{-p+1}g(z) \in R(\rho) \quad (\rho < 1).$$

Then

$$(f * g)(z) \in M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda; h).$$

Proof. For $f(z) \in M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda; h)$ and $g(z) \in A(p)$, from (2.9) (used in the proof of Theorem 3) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-\lambda)z^{-p}Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}(f * g)(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p}z^{-p+1}(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}(f * g)(z))' \\ &= \frac{(z^{-p+1}g(z)) * (z\psi(z))}{(z^{-p+1}g(z)) * z} \quad (z \in U), \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

where $\psi(z)$ is defined as in (2.10).

Since $h(z)$ is convex univalent in U ,

$$\psi(z) \prec h(z), z^{-p+1}g(z) \in R(\rho) \text{ and } z \in S^*(\rho) \quad (\rho < 1),$$

it follows from (2.14) and Lemma 2 the desired result.

Taking $\rho = 0$ and $\rho = \frac{1}{2}$, Theorem 4 reduces to the following.

Corollary 2. *Let $f(z) \in M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda; h)$ and let $g(z) \in A(p)$ satisfy either of the following conditions:*

(i) $z^{-p+1}g(z)$ is convex univalent in U

or

(ii) $z^{-p+1}g(z) \in S^*(\frac{1}{2})$.

Then

$$(f * g)(z) \in M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda; h).$$

Theorem 5. *Let $\lambda \geq 0$ and*

$$f_j(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n,j} z^{n+p} \in M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda; h_j) \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (2.15)$$

where

$$h_j(z) = \beta_j + (1 - \beta_j) \frac{1+z}{1-z} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_j < 1. \quad (2.16)$$

If $f(z) \in A(p)$ is defined by

$$Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z) = Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f_1(z) * Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f_2(z), \quad (2.17)$$

then $f(z) \in M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda; h)$, where

$$h(z) = \beta_3 + (1 - \beta_3) \frac{1+z}{1-z} \quad (2.18)$$

and the parameter β_3 is given by

$$\beta_3 = \begin{cases} 1 - 4(1 - \beta_1)(1 - \beta_2)(1 - \frac{p}{\lambda} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{\frac{p}{\lambda}-1}}{1+u} du) & (\lambda > 0), \\ 1 - 2(1 - \beta_1)(1 - \beta_2) & (\lambda = 0). \end{cases} \quad (2.19)$$

The bound β_3 is the best possible.

Proof. We consider the case when $\lambda > 0$. By setting

$$F_j(z) = (1 - \lambda)z^{-p}Q_\beta^\alpha f_j(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p}z^{-p+1}(Q_\beta^\alpha f_j(z))' \quad (j = 1, 2)$$

for $f_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2$) given by (2.15), we find that

$$F_j(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n,j}z^n \prec \beta_j + (1 - \beta_j)\frac{1+z}{1-z} \quad (j = 1, 2) \quad (2.20)$$

and

$$Q_\beta^\alpha f_j(z) = \frac{p}{\lambda}z^{-\frac{p(1-\lambda)}{\lambda}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{p}{\lambda}-1} F_j(t) dt \quad (j = 1, 2). \quad (2.21)$$

Now, if $f(z) \in A(p)$ is defined by (2.17), we find from (2.21) that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\beta^\alpha f(z) &= Q_\beta^\alpha f_1(z) * Q_\beta^\alpha f_2(z) \\ &= \left(\frac{p}{\lambda} z^p \int_0^1 u^{\frac{p}{\lambda}-1} F_1(uz) du \right) * \left(\frac{p}{\lambda} z^p \int_0^1 u^{\frac{p}{\lambda}-1} F_2(uz) du \right) \\ &= \frac{p}{\lambda} z^p \int_0^1 u^{\frac{p}{\lambda}-1} F(uz) du, \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

where

$$F(z) = \frac{p}{\lambda} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{p}{\lambda}-1} (F_1 * F_2)(uz) du. \quad (2.23)$$

Also, by using (2.20) and the Herglotz theorem, we see that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left(\frac{F_1(z) - \beta_1}{1 - \beta_1} \right) * \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{F_2(z) - \beta_2}{2(1 - \beta_2)} \right) \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in U),$$

which leads to

$$\operatorname{Re} \{(F_1 * F_2)(z)\} > \beta_0 = 1 - 2(1 - \beta_1)(1 - \beta_2) \quad (z \in U).$$

According to Lemma 3, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \{(F_1 * F_2)(z)\} \geq \beta_0 + (1 - \beta_0) \frac{1 - |z|}{1 + |z|} \quad (z \in U). \quad (2.24)$$

Now it follows from (2.22) to (2.24) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1-\lambda)z^{-p}Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}f(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p}z^{-p+1}(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}f(z))' \right\} &= \operatorname{Re}\{F(z)\} \\
 &= \frac{p}{\lambda} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{p}{\lambda}-1} \operatorname{Re} \{ (F_1 * F_2)(uz) \} du \\
 &\geq \frac{p}{\lambda} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{p}{\lambda}-1} \left(\beta_0 + (1-\beta_0) \frac{1-u|z|}{1+u|z|} \right) du \\
 &> \beta_0 + \frac{p(1-\beta_0)}{\lambda} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{p}{\lambda}-1} \frac{1-u}{1+u} du \\
 &= 1 - 4(1-\beta_1)(1-\beta_2) \left(1 - \frac{p}{\lambda} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{\frac{p}{\lambda}-1}}{1+u} du \right) \\
 &= \beta_3 \quad (z \in U),
 \end{aligned}$$

which proves that $f(z) \in M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda; h)$ for the function $h(z)$ given by (2.18).

In order to show that the bound β_3 is sharp, we take the functions $f_j(z) \in A(p)$ ($j = 1, 2$) defined by

$$Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}f_j(z) = \frac{p}{\lambda}z^{-\frac{p(1-\lambda)}{\lambda}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{p}{\lambda}-1} \left(\beta_j + (1-\beta_j) \frac{1+t}{1-t} \right) dt \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (2.25)$$

for which we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_j(z) &= (1-\lambda)z^{-p}Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}f_j(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p}z^{-p+1}(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}f_j(z))' \\
 &= \beta_j + (1-\beta_j) \frac{1+z}{1-z} \quad (j = 1, 2)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(F_1 * F_2)(z) = 1 + 4(1-\beta_1)(1-\beta_2) \frac{z}{1-z}.$$

Hence, for $f(z) \in A(p)$ given by (2.17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(1-\lambda)z^{-p}Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}f(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p}z^{-p+1}(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}f(z))' \\
 &= \frac{p}{\lambda} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{p}{\lambda}-1} \left(1 + 4(1-\beta_1)(1-\beta_2) \frac{uz}{1-uz} \right) du \\
 &\rightarrow \beta_3 \quad (\text{as } z \rightarrow -1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for the case when $\lambda = 0$, the proof of Theorem 5 is simple, and so we choose to omit the details involved.

Theorem 6. *Let $f(z) \in M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda; h)$. Then the function $F(z)$ defined by*

$$F(z) = \frac{\mu + p}{z^\mu} \int_0^z t^{\mu-1} f(t) dt \quad (\operatorname{Re} \mu > -p) \quad (2.26)$$

is in the class $M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda; \tilde{h})$, where

$$\tilde{h}(z) = (\mu + p)z^{-(\mu+p)} \int_0^z t^{\mu+p-1} h(t) dt \prec h(z).$$

Proof. For $f(z) \in A(p)$ and $\operatorname{Re} \mu > -p$, we find from (2.26) that $F(z) \in A(p)$ and

$$(\mu + p)f(z) = \mu F(z) + zF'(z). \quad (2.27)$$

Define $G(z)$ by

$$z^p G(z) = (1 - \lambda)Q_\beta^\alpha F(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p}z(Q_\beta^\alpha F(z))'. \quad (2.28)$$

Differentiating both sides of (2.28) with respect to z , we get

$$zG'(z) + pG(z) = (1 - \lambda)z^{-p}Q_\beta^\alpha(zF'(z)) + \frac{\lambda}{p}z^{-p+1}(Q_\beta^\alpha(zF'(z)))'. \quad (2.29)$$

Furthermore, it follows from (2.27) to (2.29) that

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \lambda)z^{-p}Q_\beta^\alpha f(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p}z^{-p+1}(Q_\beta^\alpha f(z))' \\ &= (1 - \lambda)z^{-p}Q_\beta^\alpha \left(\frac{\mu F(z) + zF'(z)}{\mu + p} \right) + \frac{\lambda}{p}z^{-p+1} \left(Q_\beta^\alpha \left(\frac{\mu F(z) + zF'(z)}{\mu + p} \right) \right)' \\ &= \frac{\mu}{\mu + p}G(z) + \frac{1}{\mu + p}(zG'(z) + pG(z)) \\ &= G(z) + \frac{zG'(z)}{\mu + p}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

Let $f(z) \in M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda; h)$. Then, by (2.30),

$$G(z) + \frac{zG'(z)}{\mu + p} \prec h(z) \quad (\operatorname{Re}\mu > -p),$$

and so it follows from Lemma 1 that

$$G(z) \prec \tilde{h}(z) = (\mu + p)z^{-(\mu+p)} \int_0^z t^{\mu+p-1} h(t) dt \prec h(z).$$

Therefore we conclude that

$$F(z) \in M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda; \tilde{h}) \subset M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda; h).$$

Theorem 7. Let $f(z) \in A(p)$ and $F(z)$ be defined as in Theorem 6. If

$$(1 - \gamma)z^{-p}Q_\beta^\alpha F(z) + \gamma z^{-p}Q_\beta^\alpha f(z) \prec h(z) \quad (\gamma > 0), \quad (2.31)$$

then $F(z) \in M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(0; \tilde{h})$, where $\operatorname{Re}\mu > -p$ and

$$\tilde{h}(z) = \frac{\mu + p}{\gamma} z^{-\frac{\mu+p}{\gamma}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{\mu+p}{\gamma}-1} h(t) dt \prec h(z).$$

Proof. Let us define

$$G(z) = z^{-p}Q_\beta^\alpha F(z). \quad (2.32)$$

Then $G(z)$ is analytic in U , with $G(0) = 1$, and

$$zG'(z) = -pG(z) + z^{-p+1}(Q_\beta^\alpha F(z))'. \quad (2.33)$$

Making use of (2.27), (2.31), (2.32) and (2.33), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \gamma)z^{-p}Q_\beta^\alpha F(z) + \gamma z^{-p}Q_\beta^\alpha f(z) \\ &= (1 - \gamma)z^{-p}Q_\beta^\alpha F(z) + \frac{\gamma}{\mu + p}(\mu z^{-p}Q_\beta^\alpha F(z) + z^{-p+1}(Q_\beta^\alpha F(z))') \\ &= G(z) + \frac{\gamma}{\mu + p}zG'(z) \prec h(z) \end{aligned}$$

for $\operatorname{Re}\mu > -p$ and $\gamma > 0$. Therefore an application of Lemma 1 yields the assertion of Theorem 7.

Theorem 8. Let $F(z) \in M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda; h)$. If the function $f(z)$ is defined by

$$F(z) = \frac{\mu + p}{z^\mu} \int_0^z t^{\mu-1} f(t) dt \quad (\mu > -p), \quad (2.34)$$

then

$$\sigma^{-p} f(\sigma z) \in M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda; h),$$

where

$$\sigma = \sigma_p(\mu) = \frac{\sqrt{1 + (\mu + p)^2} - 1}{\mu + p} \in (0, 1). \quad (2.35)$$

The bound σ is sharp when

$$h(z) = \gamma + (1 - \gamma) \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \quad (\gamma \neq 1). \quad (2.36)$$

Proof. For $F(z) \in A(p)$, it is easy to verify that

$$F(z) = F(z) * \frac{z^p}{1 - z} \quad \text{and} \quad zF'(z) = F(z) * \left(\frac{z^p}{(1 - z)^2} + (p - 1) \frac{z^p}{1 - z} \right).$$

Hence, by (2.34), we have

$$f(z) = \frac{\mu F(z) + zF'(z)}{\mu + p} = (F * g)(z) \quad (z \in U; \mu > -p), \quad (2.37)$$

where

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{\mu + p} \left((\mu + p - 1) \frac{z^p}{1 - z} + \frac{z^p}{(1 - z)^2} \right) \in A(p). \quad (2.38)$$

Next we show that

$$\operatorname{Re}\{z^{-p}g(z)\} > \frac{1}{2} \quad (|z| < \sigma), \quad (2.39)$$

where $\sigma = \sigma_p(\mu)$ is given by (2.35). Setting

$$\frac{1}{1 - z} = Re^{i\theta} \quad (R > 0) \quad \text{and} \quad |z| = r < 1,$$

we see that

$$\cos \theta = \frac{1 + R^2(1 - r^2)}{2R} \quad \text{and} \quad R \geq \frac{1}{1 + r}. \quad (2.40)$$

For $\mu > -p$ it follows from (2.38) and (2.40) that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\operatorname{Re}\{z^{-p}g(z)\} &= \frac{2}{\mu + p} [(\mu + p - 1)R \cos \theta + R^2(2 \cos^2 \theta - 1)] \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu + p} [(\mu + p - 1)(1 + R^2(1 - r^2)) + (1 + R^2(1 - r^2))^2 - 2R^2] \\ &= \frac{R^2}{\mu + p} [R^2(1 - r^2)^2 + (\mu + p + 1)(1 - r^2) - 2] + 1 \\ &\geq \frac{R^2}{\mu + p} [(1 - r)^2 + (\mu + p + 1)(1 - r^2) - 2] + 1 \\ &= \frac{R^2}{\mu + p} (\mu + p - 2r - (\mu + p)r^2) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

This evidently gives (2.39), which is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{Re}\{z^{-p}\sigma^{-p}g(\sigma z)\} > \frac{1}{2} \quad (z \in U). \quad (2.41)$$

Let $F(z) \in M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda; h)$. Then, by using (2.37) and (2.41), an application of Theorem 3 yields

$$\sigma^{-p}f(\sigma z) = F(z) * (\sigma^{-p}g(\sigma z)) \in M_{p,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda; h).$$

For $h(z)$ given by (2.36), we consider the function $F(z) \in A(p)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \lambda)z^{-p}Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}F(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p}z^{-p+1}(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}F(z))' \\ = \gamma + (1 - \gamma)\frac{1+z}{1-z} \quad (\gamma \neq 1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.42)$$

Then, by (2.42), (2.28) and (2.30) (used in the proof of Theorem 6), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \lambda)z^{-p}Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}f(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p}z^{-p+1}(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}f(z))' \\ = \gamma + (1 - \gamma)\frac{1+z}{1-z} + \frac{z}{\mu + p} \left(\gamma + (1 - \gamma)\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)' \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \gamma + \frac{(1-\gamma)(\mu+p+2z-(\mu+p)z^2)}{(\mu+p)(1-z)^2} \\
&= \gamma \quad (z = -\sigma).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we conclude that the bound $\sigma = \sigma_p(\mu)$ cannot be increased for each $\mu(\mu > -p)$.

References

1. M. K. Aouf, H. M. Hossen and A. Y. Lashin, An application of certain integral operator, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **248**(2000), 475-481.
2. D. J. Hallenbeck and S. Ruscheweyh, Subordination by convex functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **52**(1975), 191-195.
3. I. B. Jung, Y. C. Kim and H. M. Srivastava, The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain one parameter families of integral operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **176**(1993), 138-147.
4. J.-L. Liu, Notes on Jung-Kim-Srivastava integral operator, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **294**(2004), 96-103.
5. J.-L. Liu and S. Owa, Properties of certain integral operator, *Inter. J. Math. Sci.*, **3**(2004), 351-359.
6. J.-L. Liu, Certain integral operator and strongly starlike functions, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **30**(2002), 569-574.
7. J.-L. Liu, On application of certain integral operator, *Indian J. Math.*, **49**(2007), 1-6.
8. T. H. MacGregor, Functions whose derivative has a positive real part, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **104**(1962), 532-537.
9. S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential subordinations and univalent functions, *Michigan Math. J.*, **28**(1981), 157-171.
10. S. Ruscheweyh, *Convolutions in Geometric Function Theory*, Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, Montréal, 1982.
11. R. Singh and S. Singh, Convolution properties of a class of starlike functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **106**(1989), 145-152.

Department of Mathematics, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou 225002, Jiangsu, P.R. China.
E-mail: jlliu@yzu.edu.cn