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#### Abstract

By using the method of differential subordination, we obtain some sufficient conditions for strongly $p$-valent starlikeness.


## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be analytic in the unit disk $U=\{z:|z|<1\}$. The function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in $U$, written $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if $g(z)$ is univalent in $U, f(0)=g(0)$ and $f(U) \subset g(U)$.

Let $A_{p}$ denote the class of functions $f$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n} z^{p+n} \quad(p \in N=\{1,2,3, \cdots\}) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in $U$. A function $f \in A_{p}$ is said to be $p$-valent starlike of order $\alpha$ in $U$ if it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}>p \alpha \quad(z \in U) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$. We denote this class by $S_{p}^{*}(\alpha)$. For $-1 \leq b<a \leq 1$ and $0<\beta \leq 1$, a function $f \in A_{p}$ is said to be in the class $S_{p}^{*}(\beta, a, b)$ if it

[^0]satisfies
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec p\left(\frac{1+a z}{1+b z}\right)^{\beta} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

It is easy to know that each function in the class $S_{p}^{*}(\beta, a, b)$ is $p$-valently starlike in $U$. Also we write

$$
S_{p}^{*}(\beta, 1,-1)=\widetilde{S}_{p}^{*}(\beta) \quad \text { and } \quad S_{p}^{*}(1, a, b)=S_{p}^{*}(a, b)
$$

Note that $S_{p}^{*}(1-2 \alpha,-1)=S_{p}^{*}(\alpha)(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ and $\widetilde{S}_{p}^{*}(\beta)(0<\beta \leq 1)$ is the class of strongly starlike $p$-valent functions of order $\beta$ in $U$.

A number of results for strongly starlike functions in $U$ have been obtained by several authors (see, e.g., [1, 3-11]). The object of the present paper is to derive some criteria for functions in the class $A_{p}$ to be strongly starlike $p$-valent of order $\beta$ in $U$.

To prove our results, we need the following lemma due to Miller and Mocanu [2].

Lemma 1.1. Let $g(z)$ be analytic and univalent in $U$ and let $\theta(w)$ and $\varphi(w)$ be analytic in a domain $D$ containing $g(U)$, with $\varphi(w) \neq 0$ when $w \in g(U)$. Set

$$
Q(z)=z g^{\prime}(z) \varphi(g(z)), \quad h(z)=\theta(g(z))+Q(z)
$$

and suppose that
(i) $Q(z)$ is starlike univalent in $U$, and
(ii) $\operatorname{Re} \frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}=\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\theta^{\prime}(g(z))}{\varphi(g(z))}+\frac{z Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right\}>0 \quad(z \in U)$.

If $p(z)$ is analytic in $U$, with $p(0)=g(0), p(U) \subset D$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(p(z))+z p^{\prime}(z) \varphi(p(z)) \prec \theta(g(z))+z g^{\prime}(z) \varphi(g(z))=h(z) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $p(z) \prec g(z)$ and $g(z)$ is the best dominant of (1.4).
Applying Lemma 1.1 we prove
Lemma 1.2. Let $k$ and $m$ be integers, $m \neq 0, \lambda$ be real, $-1 \leq b<a \leq$ $1,0<\beta \leq 1$ and suppose that one of the following conditions is satisfied:
(i) $\lambda m>0, \mu>0$ and $\max \{|k-1| \beta,|k| \beta,|m+k-1| \beta\} \leq 1$;
(ii) $\lambda m>0, \mu=0$ and $\max \{|k-1| \beta,|m+k-1| \beta\} \leq 1$;
(iii) $\lambda=0, \mu>0$ and $\max \{|k-1| \beta,|k| \beta\} \leq 1$;
(iv) $\lambda=\mu=0$ and $|k-1| \beta \leq 1$.

If $p(z)$ is analytic in $U, p(0)=1$, and $p(z) \neq 0(z \in U$ ) when $m<0$ (with $\lambda<0), k>0$, and if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(p(z))^{m}+\mu p(z)+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{(p(z))^{k}} \prec h(z), \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\lambda\left(\frac{1+a z}{1+b z}\right)^{m \beta}+\mu\left(\frac{1+a z}{1+b z}\right)^{\beta}+\frac{\beta(a-b) z}{(1+a z)^{1+(k-1) \beta}(1+b z)^{1-(k-1) \beta}} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is (close-to-convex) univalent in $U$, then

$$
p(z) \prec\left(\frac{1+a z}{1+b z}\right)^{\beta}
$$

and $\left(\frac{1+a z}{1+b z}\right)^{\beta}$ is the best dominant of (1.5).

Proof. We choose

$$
g(z)=\left(\frac{1+a z}{1+b z}\right)^{\beta}, \quad \theta(w)=\lambda w^{m}+\mu w, \quad \varphi(w)=\frac{1}{w^{k}}
$$

in Lemma 1.1. In view of $-1 \leq b<a \leq 1$ and $0<\beta \leq 1$, the function $g(z)$ is analytic and convex univalent in $U$ (see [10]). Noting that

$$
\operatorname{Re} g(z)>\left(\frac{1-a}{1-b}\right)^{\beta} \geq 0 \quad(z \in U)
$$

the functions $\theta(w)$ and $\varphi(w)$ are analytic in $D=\{w: w \neq 0\}$ containing $g(U)$, with $\varphi(w) \neq 0$ when $w \in g(U)$.

Since $|k-1| \beta \leq 1$, the function

$$
Q(z)=z g^{\prime}(z) \varphi(g(z))=\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{(g(z))^{k}}=\frac{\beta(a-b) z}{(1+a z)^{1+(k-1) \beta}(1+b z)^{1-(k-1) \beta}}
$$

is starlike univalent in $U$ because

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)} & =-1+(1+(k-1) \beta) \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{1+a z}+(1-(k-1) \beta) \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{1+b z} \\
& >-1+\frac{1+(k-1) \beta}{1+|a|}+\frac{1-(k-1) \beta}{1+|b|} \\
& \geq 0 \quad(z \in U) \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Further we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta(g(z))+Q(z) \\
& \quad=\lambda\left(\frac{1+a z}{1+b z}\right)^{m \beta}+\mu\left(\frac{1+a z}{1+b z}\right)+\frac{\beta(a-b) z}{(1+a z)^{1+(k-1) \beta}(1+b z)^{1-(k-1) \beta}} \\
& \quad=h(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}=\lambda m\left(\frac{1+a z}{1+b z}\right)^{(m+k-1) \beta}+\mu\left(\frac{1+a z}{1+b z}\right)^{k \beta}+\frac{z Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $|m+k-1| \beta \leq 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg \left\{\left(\frac{1+a z}{1+b z}\right)^{(m+k-1) \beta}\right\}\right|<\frac{|m+k-1| \beta \pi}{2} \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \quad(z \in U) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $|k| \beta \leq 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg \left\{\left(\frac{1+a z}{1+b z}\right)^{k \beta}\right\}\right|<\frac{|k| \beta \pi}{2} \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \quad(z \in U) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, if one of the conditions (i)-(iv) is satisfied, then it follows from (1.7)-(1.10) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)} & =\lambda m \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left(\frac{1+a z}{1+b z}\right)^{(m+k-1) \beta}\right\}+\mu \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left(\frac{1+a z}{1+b z}\right)^{k \beta}\right\}+\operatorname{Re} \frac{z Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)} \\
& >0 \quad(z \in U)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $h(z)$ is (close-to-convex) univalent in $U$. The other conditions of Lemma 1.1 are seen to be satisfied. Therefore, by using Lemma 1.1, we conclude that $p(z) \prec g(z)$ and $g(z)$ is the best dominant of (1.5). The proof of Lemma 1.2 is completed.

## 2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1. Let $-1 \leq b<a \leq 1$ and $\lambda \geq 0$. If $f \in A_{p}$ satisfies $f(z) f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0(0<|z|<1)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+\left(\frac{\lambda}{p}-1\right) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\lambda\right|<\frac{a-b}{1+|b|}\left(\lambda+\frac{1}{1+|a|}\right) \quad(z \in U), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \in S_{p}^{*}(a, b)$.
Proof. For $f \in A_{p}$ satisfying $f(z) f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0(0<|z|<1)$, the function

$$
p(z)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{p f(z)}
$$

is analytic in $U$ with $p(0)=1$ and $p(z) \neq 0(z \in U)$. By taking

$$
k=m=\beta=1, \quad \lambda \geq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \mu=0
$$

in Lemma 1.2, (1.5) and (1.6) become

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda p(z)+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)} & =1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+\left(\frac{\lambda}{p}-1\right) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \\
& \prec \frac{(a-b) z}{1+b z}\left(\lambda+\frac{1}{1+a z}\right)+\lambda \\
& =h(z) . \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $h(z)-\lambda$ is univalent in $U, h(0)=\lambda$, and

$$
|h(z)-\lambda| \geq\left|\frac{(a-b) z}{1+b z}\right| \operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda+\frac{1}{1+a z}\right) \geq \frac{a-b}{1+|b|}\left(\lambda+\frac{1}{1+|a|}\right)
$$

for $|z|=1\left(z \neq-\frac{1}{a},-\frac{1}{b}\right)$, it follows from (2.1) that the subordination (2.2) holds. Hence an application of Lemma 1.2 yields

$$
p(z) \prec \frac{1+a z}{1+b z},
$$

that is, $f \in S_{p}^{*}(a, b)$.
Remark 2.1. If we let $a=1, b=0$ and $\lambda=\frac{p}{\alpha}(\alpha>0)$, then Theorem 2.1 reduces to the result of Yang [12, Theorem 2].

Theorem 2.2. Let $m$ be an integer, $m \neq 0, \lambda m \geq 0$ and $0<\beta<\frac{1}{|m|}$. If $f \in A_{p}$ satisfies $f(z) f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0(0<|z|<1)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\frac{p f(z)}{z f^{\prime}(z)}\right)^{m}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\lambda\right)\right|<B \quad(z \in U) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
B=\sqrt{x_{0}^{m \beta}\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{4}\left(x_{0}+\frac{1}{x_{0}}+2\right)\right)}>|\lambda| \quad(\lambda \text { real })
$$

and $x_{0}$ is the positive root of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(1+m \beta) x^{2}+2 m\left(2 \lambda^{2}+\beta^{2}\right) x-\beta(1-m \beta)=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \in \widetilde{S}_{p}^{*}(\beta)$ and the bound $B$ in (2.3) is the largest number such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec p\left(\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right)^{\beta} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $m$ be an integer, $m \neq 0$, and define

$$
p(z)=\frac{p f(z)}{z f^{\prime}(z)}
$$

where $f \in A_{p}$ satisfies $f(z) f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0(0<|z|<1)$. Then $p(z)$ is analytic in $U, p(0)=1, p(z) \neq 0(z \in U)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(p(z))^{m}+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{(p(z))^{1-m}}=\left(\frac{p f(z)}{z f^{\prime}(z)}\right)^{m}\left(\lambda+\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right)(z \in U) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting

$$
k=1-m, \quad a=1, \quad b=-1, \quad \lambda m \geq 0, \quad \mu=0 \text { and } 0<\beta \leq \frac{1}{|m|}
$$

in Lemma 1.2 and using (2.6), we find that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{p f(z)}{z f^{\prime}(z)}\right)^{m}\left(\lambda+\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right) \prec h(z) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\lambda\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{m \beta}+\frac{2 \beta z}{(1+z)^{1-m \beta}(1-z)^{1+m \beta}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is (close-to-convex) univalent in $U$, then

$$
p(z) \prec\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\beta},
$$

which gives that $f \in \widetilde{S}_{p}^{*}(\beta)$.
Letting $0<\theta<\pi$ and $x=\cot ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}>0$, we deduce from (2.8) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|h\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} & =\left|\frac{1+e^{i \theta}}{1-e^{i \theta}}\right|^{2 m \beta}\left|\lambda+\frac{2 \beta e^{i \theta}}{1-e^{2 i \theta}}\right|^{2} \\
& =x^{m \beta}\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{4}\left(x+\frac{1}{x}+2\right)\right)=g(x) \quad(\text { say })
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(x)=\frac{\beta}{4} x^{m \beta-2}\left(\beta(1+m \beta) x^{2}+2 m\left(2 \lambda^{2}+\beta^{2}\right) x-\beta(1-m \beta)\right) \quad(x>0) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $0<\beta<\frac{1}{|m|}$, it follows from (2.9) that the function $g(x)$ takes its minimum value at $x_{0}$, where $x_{0}$ is the positive root of the equation

$$
\beta(1+m \beta) x^{2}+2 m\left(2 \lambda^{2}+\beta^{2}\right) x-\beta(1-m \beta)=0
$$

Thus, in view of $h\left(e^{-i \theta}\right)=\overline{h\left(e^{i \theta}\right)}(0<\theta<\pi)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\inf _{|z|=1(z \neq \pm 1)}|h(z)| & =\min _{0<\theta<\pi}\left|h\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right| \\
& =\sqrt{x_{0}^{m \beta}\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{4}\left(x_{0}+\frac{1}{x_{0}}+2\right)\right)}=B, \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that $h(U)$ contains the disk $|w|<B$ for $|h(0)|=|\lambda|<B$. Hence, if the condition (2.3) is satisfied, then the subordination (2.7) holds and thus $f \in \widetilde{S}_{p}^{*}(\beta)$.

For the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p} \exp \left\{p \int_{0}^{z} \frac{1}{t}\left(\left(\frac{1-t}{1+t}\right)^{\beta}-1\right) d t\right\} \in A_{p} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find after some computations that $f \in \widetilde{S}_{p}^{*}(\beta)$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{p f(z)}{z f^{\prime}(z)}\right)^{m}\left(\lambda+\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right)=h(z) . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore we conclude from (2.10) and (2.12) that the bound $B$ in (2.3) is the largest number such that (2.5) holds true. The proof of the theorem is completed.
Corollary 2.1. Let $m$ be an integer, $m \neq 0,0<\beta<\frac{1}{|m|}$. If $f \in A_{p}$ satisfies $f(z) f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0(0<|z|<1)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\frac{p f(z)}{z f^{\prime}(z)}\right)^{m}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)\right|<B_{m} \quad(z \in U) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
B_{m}=\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{(1+m \beta)^{1+m \beta}(1-m \beta)^{1-m \beta}}}
$$

then $f \in \widetilde{S}_{p}^{*}(\beta)$ and the bound $B_{m}$ in (2.13) is the largest number such that (2.5) holds true.

Proof. Putting $\lambda=0$ in Theorem [2.2, we get

$$
x_{0}=\frac{1-m \beta}{1+m \beta}
$$

and

$$
B=\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{(1+m \beta)^{1+m \beta}(1-m \beta)^{1-m \beta}}}>0 .
$$

Therefore Corollary 2.1 follows immediately from Theorem 2.2,
Remark 2.2. Nunokawa et al. [4, Main theorem] proved that if $f \in A_{1}$ is univalent in $U$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}}{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}}-1\right|<B_{1} \quad(z \in U) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
B_{1}=\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{(1+\beta)^{1+\beta}(1-\beta)^{1-\beta}}} \quad(0<\beta<1)
$$

then $f \in \widetilde{S}_{1}^{*}(\beta)$.

Obviously Corollary 2.1 with $p=m=1$ yields the above result obtained by Nunokawa et al. [4] using another method. Furthermore we have shown that the bound $B_{1}$ in (2.14) is the largest number such that

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec\left(\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right)^{\beta} .
$$

Theorem 2.3. Let $m \in N, \lambda>0$ and $0<\beta \leq \frac{1}{m}$. If $f \in A_{p}$ satisfies $f(z) f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0(0<|z|<1)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\arg \left\{\left(\frac{p f(z)}{z f^{\prime}(z)}\right)^{m}\left(\lambda+\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right)\right\}\right| \\
& \quad<\frac{m \beta \pi}{2}+\arctan \frac{\beta}{\lambda}(z \in U), \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

then $f \in \widetilde{S}_{p}^{*}(\beta)$ and the bound $\frac{m \beta \pi}{2}+\arctan \frac{\beta}{\lambda}$ in (2.15) is the largest number such that (2.5) holds true.

Proof. Let

$$
h(z)=\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{m \beta}\left(\lambda+\frac{2 \beta z}{1-z^{2}}\right) \quad(z \in U)
$$

for $m \in N, \lambda>0$ and $0<\beta \leq \frac{1}{m}$. Then $h(0)=\lambda>0$ and

$$
h\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=\left(\cot \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{m \beta} e^{\frac{m \beta \pi}{2} i}\left(\lambda+\frac{\beta i}{2}\left(\cot \frac{\theta}{2}+\tan \frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right) \quad(0<\theta<\pi) .
$$

From this we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\arg h\left(e^{i \theta}\right) & =\frac{m \beta \pi}{2}+\arctan \left(\frac{\beta}{2 \lambda}\left(\cot \frac{\theta}{2}+\tan \frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \frac{m \beta \pi}{2}+\arctan \frac{\beta}{\lambda} \quad(0<\theta<\pi),
\end{aligned}
$$

which, in view of $h\left(e^{-i \theta}\right)=\overline{h\left(e^{i \theta}\right)}$, implies that

$$
\inf _{|z|=1(z \neq \pm 1)}|\arg h(z)|=\frac{m \beta \pi}{2}+\arctan \frac{\beta}{\lambda} .
$$

Thus $h(U)$ contains the sector

$$
|\arg w|<\frac{m \beta \pi}{2}+\arctan \frac{\beta}{\lambda} .
$$

The remaining part of the proof of the theorem is similar to that in the proof of Theorem 2.2 and so we omit it. Also the function $f(z)$ defined by (2.11) shows that the bound in (2.15) is the largest number such that (2.5) holds true.

Setting $m=\lambda=1$, Theorem 2.3 reduces to the following:
Corollary 2.2. Let $0<\beta \leq 1$. If $f \in A_{p}$ satisfies $f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0(0<|z|<1)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg \left(1-\frac{f(z) f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{2}}\right)\right|<\frac{\beta \pi}{2}+\arctan \beta \quad(z \in U) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \in \widetilde{S}_{p}^{*}(\beta)$ and the bound $\frac{\beta \pi}{2}+\arctan \beta$ in (2.16) is the largest number such that (2.5) holds true.

If we let

$$
0<\beta<\frac{1}{m} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{m \beta \pi}{2}+\arctan \frac{\beta}{\lambda}=\frac{\pi}{2}
$$

then Theorem 2.3 yields
Corollary 2.3. Let $m \in N$ and $0<\beta<\frac{1}{m}$. If $f \in A_{p}$ satisfies $f(z) f^{\prime}(z) \neq$ $0(0<|z|<1)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\left(\frac{f(z)}{z f^{\prime}(z)}\right)^{m}\left(\beta \tan \frac{m \beta \pi}{2}+\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right)\right\}>0 \quad(z \in U) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \in \widetilde{S}_{p}^{*}(\beta)$ and the result is sharp.
Theorem 2.4. Let $m \in N$ and $0<\beta<\frac{1}{m}$. If $f \in A_{p}$ satisfies $f(z) f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ $(0<|z|<1)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\lambda\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{p f(z)}\right)^{m}+1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\rho\right|<\rho \quad(z \in U) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\beta \tan \left(\frac{(1+m \beta) \pi}{4}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad 0<\lambda<2 \rho \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \in \widetilde{S}_{p}^{*}(\beta)$.

Proof. By taking

$$
k=1, \quad m \in N, \quad a=1, \quad b=-1, \quad \lambda>0, \quad \mu=0, \quad 0<\beta<\frac{1}{m}
$$

and

$$
p(z)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{p f(z)}
$$

in Lemma 1.2, we see that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(p(z))^{m}+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}=\lambda\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{p f(z)}\right)^{m}+1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec h(z) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\lambda\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{m \beta}+\frac{2 \beta z}{1-z^{2}} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

is (close-to-convex) univalent in $U$, then $f \in \widetilde{S}_{p}^{*}(\beta)$.
Letting $0<\theta<\pi$ and $x=\cot \frac{\theta}{2}>0$, it follows from (2.21) that $h\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=\lambda\left(\frac{1+e^{i \theta}}{1-e^{i \theta}}\right)^{m \beta}+\frac{2 \beta e^{i \theta}}{1-e^{2 i \theta}}$

$$
=\lambda x^{m \beta} \cos \frac{m \beta \pi}{2}+i\left(\lambda x^{m \beta} \sin \frac{m \beta \pi}{2}+\frac{\beta}{2}\left(x+\frac{1}{x}\right)\right) \quad(x>0) .
$$

Further we deduce that for $x>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & <\operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{h\left(e^{i \theta}\right)}=\frac{\lambda x^{m \beta} \cos \frac{m \beta \pi}{2}}{\left(\lambda x^{m \beta} \cos \frac{m \beta \pi}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\lambda x^{m \beta} \sin \frac{m \beta \pi}{2}+\frac{\beta}{2}\left(x+\frac{1}{x}\right)\right)^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{\lambda x^{m \beta} \cos \frac{m \beta \pi}{2}}{\left(\lambda x^{m \beta} \cos \frac{m \beta \pi}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\lambda x^{m \beta} \sin \frac{m \beta \pi}{2}+\beta\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{\lambda \cos \frac{m \beta \pi}{2}}{\left(\lambda^{2} x^{m \beta}+\beta^{2} x^{-m \beta}\right)+2 \lambda \beta \sin \frac{m \beta \pi}{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{\cos \frac{m \beta \pi}{2}}{2 \beta\left(1+\sin \frac{m \beta \pi}{2}\right)}=\frac{1}{2 \rho} \quad(0<\theta<\pi) \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\rho$ is given by (2.19). Noting that $h\left(e^{-i \theta}\right)=\overline{h\left(e^{i \theta}\right)}(0<\theta<\pi)$, (2.22) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|h\left(e^{i \theta}\right)-\rho\right|^{2}-\rho^{2}=\left|h\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2}\left(1-2 \rho \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{h\left(e^{i \theta}\right)}\right) \geq 0 \quad(0<|\theta|<\pi) \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of $0<h(0)=\lambda<2 \rho,(2.23)$ implies that

$$
\{w:|w-\rho|<\rho\} \subset h(U)
$$

Consequently, if the condition (2.18) is satisfied, then the subordination (2.20) holds, and so $f \in \widetilde{S}_{p}^{*}(\beta)$.

Corollary 2.4. Let $m \in N$ and $0<\beta<\frac{1}{m}$. If $f \in A_{p}$ satisfies $f(z) f^{\prime}(z) \neq$ $0(0<|z|<1)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{p f(z)}\right)^{m}+1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\rho\right|<\rho \quad(z \in U), \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho$ is given as in Theorem [2.4, then $f \in \widetilde{S}_{p}^{*}(\beta)$ and the bound in (2.24) is the largest number such that

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec p\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\beta}
$$

Proof. Note that $0<\beta<\rho$. Putting $\lambda=\beta$ in Theorem 2.4 and using (2.24), it follows that $f \in \widetilde{S}_{p}^{*}(\beta)$.

For the function

$$
f(z)=z^{p} \exp \left\{p \int_{0}^{z} \frac{1}{t}\left(\left(\frac{1+t}{1-t}\right)^{\beta}-1\right) d t\right\} \in A_{p}
$$

it is easy to verify that $f \in \widetilde{S}_{p}^{*}(\beta)$,
$\beta\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{p f(z)}\right)^{m}+1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=\beta\left(\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{m \beta}+\frac{2 z}{1-z^{2}}\right)=h_{1}(z)$ (say)
and

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{z \rightarrow i}\left|h_{1}(z)-\rho\right| & =\beta\left|e^{\frac{m \beta \pi}{2} i}+i-\tan \left(\frac{(1+m \beta) \pi}{4}\right)\right| \\
& =\beta \tan \left(\frac{(1+m \beta) \pi}{4}\right) \\
& =\rho . \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

The proof of Corollary 2.4 is completed.
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