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#### Abstract

In this paper we introduce a class of strongly starlike functions of order $\alpha$ and type $\beta$ with respect to symmetric points and investigate the neighborhoods and coefficients bounds of such functions.


## 1. Introduction

Let $H(D)$ denote the class of all functions $f$ holomorphic in the open unit disc $D$ in $C$ and $A$ be the class of all functions $f \in H(D)$ with the normalizations $f(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(0)=1$. Any $f \in A$ is said to be strongly starlike of order $\alpha, 0<\alpha \leq 1$ if it satisfies

$$
\left|\operatorname{Arg} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right|<\frac{\pi \alpha}{2}, \quad z \in D
$$

This class $S^{*}(\alpha)$ of strongly starlike functions of order $\alpha$ was introduced by D.A. Brannan and W. E. Kirwan [2] and in dependently by J. Stankiewicz [8].

In this paper we introduce a class of strongly starlike functions of order $\alpha$ and type $\beta$ with respect to symmetric points. We investigate some interesting

[^0]properties of this class, e.g., the neighborhoods of such functions, coefficients bounds, and the criteria for a function to be in this class.

Definition 1.1. Let $f \in A$. For $0<\alpha \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \beta<1$ in $D, f$ is said to be in the class $\bar{S}_{\alpha, \beta}^{*}(\alpha)$ of strongly starlike functions of order $\alpha$ and type $\beta$ with respect to symmetric points if

$$
\left|\arg \left(\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)-f(-z)}-\beta\right)\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \text { in } D .
$$

Clearly, $\bar{S}_{s, 0}^{*}(\alpha)=\bar{S}_{s}^{*}(\alpha)$ the class of all strongly starlike functions of order $\alpha$ with respect to symmetric points which was introduced by R. Parvatham and M. Premabai [4].

Also, $\bar{S}_{s, 0}^{*}(1)=S_{s}^{*}$ the class of all starlike functions with respect to symmetric points which was introduced by K. Sakaguchi [7].
$f \in \bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha)$ means that the image of $D$ under $\left(\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)-f(-z)}-\beta\right)$ lies in the region $\Omega$ defined by

$$
\Omega=\left\{z \in C:|\arg z|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}, \quad 0<\alpha \leq 1\right\}
$$

Equivalently, $f \in \bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha)$ if and only if

$$
\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)-f(-z)} \neq \beta+t e^{i \alpha \pi / 2}, \quad t \in R^{+}
$$

Or $f \in \bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha)$ if and only if

$$
\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)-f(-z)}=(1-\beta) p(z)^{\alpha}+\beta
$$

where $p \in P$, the class of all functions $p(z)$ analytic in $D$ for which $\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\}$ $>0$ and $p(z)=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots, 0<\alpha \leq 1,0 \leq \beta<1$.

Definition 1.2. Any $f \in A$ is said to be strongly convex of order $\alpha$ and type $\beta$ with respect to symmetric points in $D$ if $\forall z \in D$, $\left|\arg \left(\frac{2\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{(f(z)-f(-z))^{\prime}}-\beta\right)\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}, 0<\alpha \leq 1,0 \leq \beta<1$. Let $\bar{K}_{s, \beta}(\alpha)$ be
the class of all strongly convex functions of order $\alpha$ and type $\beta$ with respect to symmetric points.

Note. $\bar{K}_{s, 0}(\alpha)=K_{s}(\alpha)$ - the class of all strongly convex functions of order $\alpha$ with respect to symmetric points which was introduced by R . Parvatham and M. Premabai [4].

Also, $\bar{K}_{s, 0}(1)=K_{s}-$ the class of convex functions with respect to symmetric points which was introduced by R.N. Das and P. Singh [3]. The relation between the classes $\bar{S}_{s, \beta}(\alpha)$ and $\bar{K}_{s, \beta}(\alpha)$ is given as

$$
f \in \bar{K}_{s, \beta}(\alpha) \Leftrightarrow z f^{\prime}(z) \in \bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha) .
$$

Any $f \in A$ has the Taylor's expansion $f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{3} z^{3}+\cdots$ in D.

## 2. Main Results

In order to derive our first result for the coefficients bounds of the class $\bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha)$, we need the following lemma due to Pommerenke [1].

Lemma 2.1. If $p(z)=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \in P$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{k}\right| \leq 2 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.1. Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$ belong to $\bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha)(0<\alpha \leq$ $1,0 \leq \beta<1$ ). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|a_{2}\right| \leq \alpha(1-\beta) \\
& \left|a_{3}\right| \leq \alpha^{2}(1-\beta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The result is sharp.
Proof. For $f(z) \in \bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha)$, there is $p(z) \in P$ such that

$$
\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)-f(-z)}=(1-\beta) p(z)^{\alpha}+\beta .
$$

Assume that $p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$. Then direct calculation gives us

$$
2 a_{2}=\alpha(1-\beta) p_{1}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 a_{3}=\alpha(1-\beta) p_{2}+\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2}(1-\beta) p_{1}^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using Lemma 2.1, we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|a_{2}\right| \leq \alpha(1-\beta) \\
& \left|a_{3}\right| \leq \alpha^{2}(1-\beta) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

For $a_{2}$, equality holds if and only if

$$
\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)-f(-z)}=(1-\beta)\left(\frac{1+\epsilon z}{1-\epsilon z}\right)^{\alpha}+\beta, \quad|\epsilon|=1
$$

and for $a_{3}$, equality holds if and only if

$$
\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)-f(-z)}=(1-\beta)\left(\frac{1+\epsilon z^{2}}{1-\epsilon z^{2}}\right)^{\alpha}+\beta, \quad|\epsilon|=1
$$

This completes our proof.
Now, let us see a characterization formula for $f$ to be in $\bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha)$ by means of convolution. For this, we need to define convolution of $f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$ and $g(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k}$ as $(f * g)(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} b_{k} z^{k}$.

Definition 2.1. Let $S_{s, \beta}^{*^{\prime}}(\alpha)$ be the class of all functions $h(z)$ such that

$$
h(z)=\frac{f_{2}(z)-\left(t e^{ \pm i \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}}+\beta\right) f_{3}(z)}{1-\left(t e^{ \pm i \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}}+\beta\right)} ; \quad t \in R^{+}
$$

where $f_{2}(z)=\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}$ and $f_{3}(z)=\frac{z}{1-z^{2}}$.
Clearly, $f(z)=f(z) * f_{1}(z), \quad z f^{\prime}(z)=f(z) * f_{2}(z)$ and $\frac{f(z)-f(-z)}{2}=$ $f(z) * f_{3}(z)$, where $f_{1}(z)=\frac{z}{1-z}, f_{2}(z)=\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}$ and $f_{3}(z)=\frac{z}{1-z^{2}}$.

Note that $S_{s, 0}^{*^{\prime}}(\alpha)=S_{s}^{*^{\prime}}(\alpha)$ - the class which was introduced by R. Parvatham and M. Premabai [4].

The characterization formula for $f$ to be in $\bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha)$ is given in the following theorem

Theorem 2.2. $f \in \bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha)$ if and only if $\forall H \in S_{s, \beta}^{* \prime}(\alpha)$ and $\forall z \in$ $D, \frac{(f * H)(z)}{z} \neq 0$.

Proof. Let us first assume that for $f \in A, \frac{(f * H)(z)}{z} \neq 0 \forall H \in S_{s, \beta}^{*^{\prime}}(\alpha)$ and $\forall z \in D$.

From the definition of $H(z)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{(f * H)(z)}{z} & =\frac{\left(f * f_{2}\right)(z)-\left(t e^{ \pm i \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}}+\beta\right)\left(f * f_{3}\right)(z)}{\left[1-\left(t e^{ \pm i \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}}+\beta\right)\right] z} \\
& =\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)-\left(t e^{ \pm i \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}}+\beta\right)\left(\frac{f(z)-f(-z)}{2}\right)}{\left[1-\left(t e^{ \pm \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}}+\beta\right)\right] z} \neq 0, \quad t \in R^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

Equivalently, $\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)-f(-z)} \neq t e^{ \pm i \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}}+\beta, \quad t \in R^{+} ;$or $\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)-f(-z)}-\beta \neq$ $t e^{ \pm i \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}}, t \in R^{+}$. As $t \in R^{+}, t e^{ \pm i \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}}$ covers the half lines $|\arg \omega|=\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{1-\beta}\left(\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)-f(-z)}-\beta\right)=1$ at $z=0$. Hence

$$
\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)-f(-z)}-\beta \in \Omega=\left\{z \in C:|\arg z|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}\right\} ;
$$

or $f \in \bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha)$.

Conversely, let $f \in \bar{S}_{s, \beta(\alpha)}^{*}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)-f(-z)}-\beta \neq t e^{ \pm i \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{(f * H)(z)}{z} & =\frac{\left(f * f_{2}\right)(z)-\left(t e^{ \pm i \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}}+\beta\right)\left(f * f_{3}\right)(z)}{\left[1-\left(t e^{ \pm i \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}}+\beta\right)\right] z} \\
& =\left(\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)-f(-z)}-\left(t e^{ \pm i \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}}+\beta\right)\right) \cdot \frac{f(z)-f(-z)}{2 z\left[1-\left(t e^{ \pm i \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}}+\beta\right)\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) gives $\frac{(f * H)(z)}{z} \neq 0$ in $D$ which completes the proof of the theorem.

The notion of $\delta$-neighborhood was first introduced by St. Ruscheweyh [5].

Definition 2.2. For $\delta \geq 0$, the $\delta$-neighborhood of $f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \in$ $A$ is defined by

$$
N_{\delta}(f)=\left\{g(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k} ; \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k\left|a_{k}-b_{k}\right| \leq \delta\right\} .
$$

To investigate the $\delta$-neighborhoods of functions belonging to the class $\bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha)$, we need the following lemmas:

Lemma 2.2. Let $H(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} h_{n} z^{n} \in S_{s, \beta}^{*^{\prime}}(\alpha)$. Then $\left|h_{n}\right|<$ $\frac{\sqrt{(n-\beta)^{2}+2 \beta}}{(1-\beta) \sin \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}}$ for $n=2,3, \ldots$.

Proof. Since $H(z) \in S_{s, \beta}^{*^{\prime}}(\alpha)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(z)= & \frac{1}{1-\left(t e^{ \pm i \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}}+\beta\right)}\left[\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}-\left(t e^{ \pm i \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}}+\beta\right) \frac{z}{1-z^{2}}\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{1-\left(t e^{ \pm i \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}} \beta\right)}\left[\left(z+2 z^{2}+3 z^{3}+\cdots+n z^{n}+\cdots\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\left(t e^{ \pm i \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}}+\beta\right)\left(z+z^{3}+z^{5}+\cdots+z^{2 n+1}+\cdots\right)\right] \\
= & z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} h_{n} z^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then comparing the coefficients on either side, we get

$$
h_{n}= \begin{cases}\frac{n}{1-\left(t e^{ \pm i \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}}+\beta\right)} & \text { when } n \text { is even } \\ \frac{n-\left(t e^{ \pm i \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}}+\beta\right)}{1-\left(t e^{ \pm i \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}}+\beta\right)} & \text { when } n \text { is odd. }\end{cases}
$$

Hence when $n$ is odd,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|h_{n}\right|^{2} & =\frac{\left[n-\left(\beta+t \cos \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right]^{2}+t^{2} \sin ^{2} \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}}{\left(1-\left(\beta+t \cos \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right)^{2}+t^{2} \sin ^{2} \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}} \\
& =\frac{n^{2}-2 n \beta+\beta^{2}+2(\beta-n) t \cos \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}+t^{2}}{1-2 \beta+\beta^{2}+2(\beta-1) t \cos \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}+t^{2}} \\
& =1+\frac{\left(n^{2}-1\right)-2(n-1) \beta-2(n-1) t \cos \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}}{1-2 \beta+\beta^{2}+2(\beta-1) t \cos \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}+t^{2}} \\
& =1+\frac{(n-1)\left\{(n+1-2 \beta)-2 t \cos \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}\right\}}{(1-\beta)^{2}+2(\beta-1) t \cos \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}+t^{2}} \\
& \leq 1+\frac{(n-1)(n+1-2 \beta)}{(1-\beta)^{2}+2(\beta-1) t \cos \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}+t^{2}} \\
& \leq \max _{t}\left\{1+\frac{(n-1)(n+1-2 \beta)}{(1-\beta)^{2}\left[1-\frac{2 t}{1-\beta} \cos \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}+\left(\frac{t}{1-\beta}\right)^{2}\right]}\right\} \\
& =1+\frac{(n-1)(n+1-2 \beta)}{(1-\beta)^{2} \sin ^{2} \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}} \quad \operatorname{since} t \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|h_{n}\right| & \leq \frac{\sqrt{n^{2}-\cos ^{2} \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}+\beta^{2} \sin ^{2} \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}-2 \beta\left(n-\cos ^{2} \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}\right)}}{(1-\beta) \sin \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}} \\
& <\frac{\sqrt{(n-\beta)^{2}+2 \beta}}{(1-\beta) \sin \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.3. For $f \in A$ and for every $\epsilon \in C$ such that $|\epsilon|<\delta$, if $F_{\epsilon}(z)=\frac{f(z)+\epsilon z}{1+\epsilon} \in \bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha)$, then for every $H \in S_{s, \beta}^{*^{\prime}}(\alpha),\left|\frac{(f * H)(z)}{z}\right| \geq \delta$, $z \in D$.

Proof. Let $F_{\epsilon} \in \bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha)$. Then by Theorem 2.2, $\frac{\left(F_{\epsilon} * H\right)(z)}{z} \neq 0, \forall H$ $\in S_{s, \beta}^{*^{\prime}}(\alpha), z \in D$. Equivalently, $\frac{(f * H)(z)+\epsilon z}{(1+\epsilon) z} \neq 0$ in $D$ or $\frac{(f * H)(z)}{z} \neq$ $-\epsilon$ which shows that $\left|\frac{(f * H)(z)}{z}\right| \geq \delta$.

Theorem 2.3. For $f \in A$ and $\epsilon \in C,|\epsilon|<\delta<1$ assume $F_{\epsilon}(z) \in$ $\bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha)$. Then

$$
N_{\delta(1-\beta) \sin \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}}(f) \subset \bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha)
$$

Proof. Let $H(z) \in S_{s, \beta}^{*^{\prime}}(\alpha)$ and $g(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k}$ is in $N_{\delta}(f)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{(g * H)(z)}{z}\right| & =\left|\frac{(f * H)(z)}{z}+\frac{((g-f) * H)(z)}{z}\right| \\
& \geq\left|\frac{(f * H)(z)}{z}\right|-\left|\frac{((g-f) * H)(z)}{z}\right| \\
& \geq \delta-\left|\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left(b_{k}-a_{k}\right) h_{k} z^{k}}{z}\right| \quad \text { by Lemma 2.3. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{(g * H)(z)}{z}\right| & \geq \delta-|z| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left|h_{k}\right|\left|b_{k}-a_{k}\right| \\
& >\delta-\frac{1}{(1-\beta) \sin \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sqrt{(k-\beta)^{2}+2 \beta}\left|b_{k}-a_{k}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $g(z) \in N_{\delta}(f)$, therefore $g(z) \in N_{\delta^{\prime}}(f)$ for all $\delta^{\prime}>\delta$. Hence, we get

$$
\left|\frac{(g * H)(z)}{z}\right|>\delta-\frac{\delta^{\prime}}{(1-\beta) \sin \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}}=0, \quad \text { for } \quad \delta^{\prime}=\delta(1-\beta) \sin \frac{\alpha \pi}{2} .
$$

Thus $\frac{(g * H)(z)}{z} \neq 0$ in $D$ for all $H \in S_{s, \beta}^{*^{\prime}}(\alpha)$ which means by Theorem 2.2, $g \in \bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha)$; in other words, $N_{\delta(1-\beta) \sin \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}}(f) \subset \bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha)$.

Next, we will show that the class $\bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha)$ is closed under convolution with functions $f$ which are convex univalent in $D$, that is, $(f * g)(z) \in \bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha)$
whenever $f \in K$ and $g \in \bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha)$. For this we shall need the following lemmas:

Lemma 2.4. If $g \in \bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha)$, then $G(z)=\frac{g(z)-g(-z)}{z} \in S^{*}$.
Proof. Since $g \in \bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha)$, therefore

$$
\left|\arg \frac{2 z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)-g(-z)}-\beta\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \text { in } D
$$

or $\left(\frac{2 z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)-g(-z)}-\beta\right)$ lies in the convex region

$$
\Omega=\left\{z \in C:|\arg z|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}, \quad 0<\alpha \leq 1\right\} .
$$

Hence

$$
\frac{z G^{\prime}(z)}{G(z)}=\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{2 G(z)}+\frac{(-z) g^{\prime}(-z)}{2 G(-z)} .
$$

There exists $\xi_{0}, \xi_{1}$ in $\Omega$ such that

$$
\frac{z G^{\prime}(z)}{G(z)}=\xi_{0}+\xi_{1}=\xi_{2}
$$

for some $\xi_{2} \in \Omega$ since $\Omega$ is the convex sector. Thus $G \in \bar{S}^{*}(\alpha) \subset S^{*}$.

Lemma 2.5.([6]) If $\phi$ is a convex univalent function with $\phi(0)=0=$ $\phi^{\prime}(0)-1$ in $D$ and $g$ is starlike univalent in $D$, then for each analytic function $F$ in $D$, the image of $D$ under $\frac{(\phi * F g)(z)}{(\phi * g)(z)}$ is a subset of the convex hull of $F(D)$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $\phi(z) \in K, \quad f(z) \in \bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha)$. Then $(\phi * f)(z) \in$ $\bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha)$.

Proof. Assume $G(z)=\frac{1}{1-\beta}\left\{\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)-f(-z)}-\beta\right\}$. Then for $F(z)=$ $(\phi * f)(z)$, we have

$$
2 z F^{\prime}(z)=\phi * 2 z f^{\prime}(z) .
$$

Hence

$$
\frac{1}{1-\beta}\left[\frac{2 z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)-F(-z)}-\beta\right]=\frac{\phi * G(f(z)-f(-z))}{\phi *(f(z)-f(-z))} .
$$

By Lemma 2.5, the image of $D$ under $\frac{\phi * G(f(z)-f(-z))}{\phi *(f(z)-f(-z))}$ is a subset of the convex hull of $G(D)$. Then $G(D) \subset \Omega=\left\{\omega:|\arg \omega|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}\right\}$ and hence $\frac{1}{1-\beta}\left[\frac{2 z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)-F(-z)}-\beta\right]$ lies in $\Omega$ which means $(\phi * f)(z) \in \bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha)$.

Theorem 2.5. If $f \in \bar{K}_{s, \beta}(\alpha)$, then $\frac{f(z)+\epsilon z}{1+\epsilon} \in \bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha)$ for $|\epsilon|<\frac{1}{4}$.
Proof. Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{f(z)+\epsilon z}{1+\epsilon} & =\frac{z(1+\epsilon)+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}}{1+\epsilon} \\
& =\frac{f(z) *\left\{z(1+\epsilon)+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} z^{k}\right\}}{1+\epsilon} \\
& =f(z) * \frac{\left(z-\frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} z^{2}\right)}{1-z}=f(z) * h(z),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h(z)=\frac{z-\frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} z^{2}}{1-z}$. Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{h(z)} & =\frac{z-\frac{2 \epsilon}{1+\epsilon} z^{2}}{z-\frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} z^{2}}+\frac{z}{1-z} \\
& =\frac{-\rho z}{1-\rho z}+\frac{1}{1-z}, \text { where } \rho=\frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $|\rho|<\frac{|\epsilon|}{1-|\epsilon|}<\frac{1}{3}$ gives $|\epsilon|<\frac{1}{4}$. Thus

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{h(z)}\right) \geq \frac{1-2|\rho||z|-|\rho||z|^{2}}{(1-|\rho||z|)(1+|z|)}>0
$$

if $|\rho| \quad\left(|z|^{2}+2|z|\right)-1<0$. This inequality holds for all $\rho<\frac{1}{3}$ and $|z|<1$,
which is true for $|\epsilon|<\frac{1}{4}$. Therefore $h$ is starlike in $D$ and so

$$
\int_{0}^{z} \frac{h(t)}{t} d t=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{h_{k} z^{k}}{k}=h(z) * \log \left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right)
$$

is convex for $|\epsilon|<\frac{1}{4}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(f * h)(z) & =(h * f)(z)=\left[h(z) *\left(z f^{\prime}(z) * \log \left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right)\right)\right] \\
& =z f^{\prime}(z) *\left[h(z) * \log \left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right)\right] \\
f(z) \in \bar{K}_{s, \beta}(\alpha) & \Rightarrow z f^{\prime}(z) \in \bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha) \text { and } h(z) * \log \left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right) \in K .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, by Theorem 2.4, we have

$$
z f^{\prime}(z) *\left[h(z) * \log \left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right)\right] \in \bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha) .
$$

Thus

$$
(f * h)(z)=\frac{f(z)+\epsilon z}{1+\epsilon} \in \bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha) .
$$

Theorem 2.6. Let $f \in \bar{K}_{s, \beta}(\alpha)$. Then

$$
N_{\frac{1}{4}(1-\beta) \sin \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}}(f) \subset \bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha) .
$$

Proof. Let $f \in \bar{K}_{s, \beta}(\alpha)$. Then from Theorem 2.5, we have $\frac{f(z)+\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} \in$ $\bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha)$ for $|\epsilon|<\frac{1}{4}$. Then an application of Theorem 2.3 gives

$$
N_{\frac{1}{4}(1-\beta) \sin \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}}(f) \subset \bar{S}_{s, \beta}^{*}(\alpha) .
$$
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