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#### Abstract

Fix integers $q, g, k, d$. Set $\pi_{d, k, q}:=k d-d-k+k q+1$ and assume $q>0, k \geq 2, d \geq 3 q+1, g \geq k q-k+1$ and $\pi_{d, k, q}-\left((\lfloor d / 2\rfloor+1-q) \cdot(\lfloor k / 2\rfloor+1) \leq g \leq \pi_{d, k, q}\right.$. Let $Y$ be a smooth and connected genus $q$ projective curve. Here we prove the existence of a smooth and connected genus $g$ projective curve $X$, a degree $k$ morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and a degree $d$ morphism $u: X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ such that the morphism $(f, u): X \rightarrow Y \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ is birational onto its image.


## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ (resp. $Y$ ) be a smooth and connected curve of genus $g$ (resp. genus $q$ ) and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a degree $k$ covering. Let $u: X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ be a degree $d$ morphism. Assume $d \leq(g-k q) /(k-1)$. By Castelnuovo-Severi inequality ([4]) the induced morphism $(f, u): X \rightarrow Y \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ is not birational onto its image. Very roughly speaking, " $u$ factors through $f$ ". Several papers were devoted to the proof of the existence of $X, Y, f, u$ for certain $d>(g-k q) /(k-1)$ for which the morphism $(f, u): X \rightarrow Y \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ is birational onto its image (see [1] and references therein). In this paper we prove the following result.

[^0]Theorem 1. Fix integers $q, g, k, d$. Set $\pi_{d, k, q}:=k d-d-k+k q+1$ and assume $q>0, k \geq 2, d \geq 3 q+1, g \geq k q-k+1$ and $\pi_{d, k, q}-((\lfloor d / 2\rfloor+1-q)$. $(\lfloor k / 2\rfloor+1) \leq g \leq \pi_{d, k, q}$. Let $Y$ be a smooth and connected genus $q$ projective curve. Then there exist a smooth and connected genus $g$ projective curve $X$, a degree $k$ morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and a degree d morphism $u: X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ such that the morphism $(f, u): X \rightarrow Y \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ is birational onto its image.

We work over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$ with $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{K})=0$.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.

Remark 1. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite morphism between smooth and connected projective curves and $D=\sum n_{i} P_{i}$ any divisor on $X$. Set $f_{!}(D):=\sum n_{i} f\left(P_{i}\right)$. A key property of rational equivalence say that if $D$ and $D^{\prime}$ are linearly equivalent divisors on $X$, then $f_{!}(D)$ and $f_{!}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ are linearly equivalent divisors on $Y$; here the smoothness of $Y$ is essential, because it implies that rational equivalence and linear equivalence are the same on $Y$. Hence for any $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ the map $f_{!}$induces a map $f_{!}: \operatorname{Pic}^{d}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^{d}(Y)$ such that $h^{0}\left(Y, f_{!}(L)\right) \geq h^{0}(X, L)$ for all $L \in \operatorname{Pic}^{d}(X)$. Furthermore, if $L$ is base point free, then $f_{!}(L)$ is base point free.

In the next remark we introduce our set-up. We will use several times the notations and results proved in this remark.

Remark 2. Let $Y$ be a smooth and connected projective curve. Set $q:=p_{a}(Y)$ and $S:=Y \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$. Hence $h^{1}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}\right)=q$. Let $\pi_{1}: S \rightarrow Y$ and $\pi_{2}: S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ denote the two projections. For any $R \in \operatorname{Pic}(S)$ there are unique $M \in \operatorname{Pic}(Y)$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $R \cong \pi_{1}^{*}(M) \otimes \pi_{2}^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}(k)\right)$. Set $\mathcal{O}_{S}(M, k):=\pi_{1}^{*}(M) \otimes \pi_{2}^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}(k)\right)$. If $k<0$, then $h^{0}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}(M, k)\right)=0$ and $h^{1}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}(M, k)\right)=(-k-1) \cdot h^{0}(Y, M)$ (Künneth formula). If $k \geq 0$, then $h^{0}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}(M, k)\right)=(k+1) \cdot h^{0}(Y, M)$ and $h^{1}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}(M, k)\right)=(k+1) \cdot h^{1}(Y, M)$ (Künneth formula). Furthermore, if $M$ is spanned and $k \geq 0$, then $\mathcal{O}_{S}(M, k)$ is spanned, while if $M$ is (birationally) very ample and $k>0$, then $\mathcal{O}_{S}(M, k)$ is (birationally) very ample. Fix integers $k \geq 2$ and $d>0$ and $M \in \operatorname{Pic}^{d}(Y)$ such that $|M|$ has no base point. Let $C \subset S$ be an integral curve in the linear system $\left|\mathcal{O}_{S}(M, k)\right|$ and $\nu: X \rightarrow C$ the normalization map. For any $A \in \operatorname{Pic}(Y)$ and any integer $x$ set $\mathcal{O}_{C}(A, x):=\mathcal{O}_{S}(A, x) \mid C$. Notice that $\mathcal{O}_{C}(A, x)$ is a line bundle of degree $k \cdot \operatorname{deg}(A)+x \cdot \operatorname{deg}(M)$. The morphism
$\pi_{1} \circ \nu: X \rightarrow Y$ is a degree $k$ covering between smooth and projective curves. Since $\omega_{S} \cong \mathcal{O}_{S}\left(\omega_{Y},-2\right)$, then $\omega_{C} \cong \mathcal{O}_{C}\left(M \otimes \omega_{S}, k-2\right)$ (adjunction formula). Thus $p_{a}(C)=k d-d-k+k q+1$. Set $\pi_{d, k, q}:=k d-d-k-k q+1$.

Proposition 1. Fix integers $q \geq 0, k \geq 2$ and $d>0$ and a smooth curve $Y$ of genus $q$. Assume the existence of a base point free $M \in \operatorname{Pic}^{d}(Y)$. Set $g:=k d-d-k+k q+1$. Then there exist a smooth genus $g$ curve, a degree $k$ covering $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $L \in \operatorname{Pic}^{d}(X)$ such that $L$ is base point free and $f_{!}(L)=M$.

Proof. Notice that $g=\pi_{d, k, q}$ and take as $X$ the curve $C$ described in the second part of Remark 2. Here we may take as $C$ a smooth curve because $\mathcal{O}_{S}(M, k)$ is base point free and hence we may apply Bertini's theorem.

Proposition 2. Take $Y, S$ as in Remark 2 and integers $u$, $v$, a such that $u>0, v \geq 2,0<a \leq(v+1)(u+1-q)-3$ and there is a very ample $R \in \operatorname{Pic}^{u}(Y)$. Let $A \subset S$ be a general subset such that $\sharp(A)=a$. Then the linear system $\left|\mathcal{I}_{A, S}(R, v)\right|$ has no base point outside $A$ and its schemetheoretic base locus is exactly $A$.

Proof. Since $h^{0}(Y, R) \geq u+1-q$, we have $h^{0}\left(S, \mathcal{I}_{A, S}(R, v)\right) \geq 3$. Since $R$ is very ample, $\mathcal{O}_{S}(R, v)$ is very ample. Fix two general $D, D^{\prime} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{S}(R, v)\right|$. Hence $D \cap D^{\prime}$ is the union of $2 u v$ points, each of them appearing with multiplicity one. By semicontinuity it is sufficient to show the result when we take as $A$ a subset of $D \cap D^{\prime}$ with $\sharp(A)=a$. By the very ampleness of $\mathcal{O}_{S}(R, v)$ and hence of $\mathcal{O}_{D}(R, v)$ we may apply the monodromy theorem ([3], Ch. III, or [5]), and get that the result is true for one such $A$ if and only if it is true for all $A^{\prime} \subset D \cap D^{\prime}$ with $\sharp\left(A^{\prime}\right)=a$. Furthermore, the linear span of $\left\{D, D^{\prime}\right\}$ in $\left|\mathcal{O}_{S}(R, v)\right|$ is uniquely determined by any $E \subset D \cap D^{\prime}$ such that $\sharp(E)=h^{0}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}(R, v)\right)-2$. Fix any such $E$, any $P \in E$ and set $F:=E \backslash\{P\}$. Hence $h^{0}\left(S, \mathcal{I}_{F, S}(R, v)\right)=h^{0}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}(R, v)\right)-3$. First, we will show that $F$ is scheme-theoretically the base locus $B(F)$ of $\left|\mathcal{I}_{F, S}(R, v)\right|$. Indeed, $B(F) \subseteq$ $D \cap D^{\prime}$ and hence each point of $F$ appears with multiplicity one in $B(F)$, while other base points (if any) are contained in $D \cap D^{\prime} \backslash F$. Assume there is $Q \in B(F) \backslash F$. By the monodromy theorem all $Q^{\prime} \in D \cap D^{\prime}$ are contained in $B(F)$. Hence $h^{0}\left(S, \mathcal{I}_{F, S}(R, v)\right)=h^{0}\left(S, \mathcal{I}_{E, S}(R, v)\right)=h^{0}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}(R, v)\right)-2$, contradiction. Notice that $\sharp(F) \geq a$. If $\sharp(F)=a$, we are done. If $\sharp(F)>a$
we apply the same trick $\sharp(F)-a$ times and get the result for $\sharp(F)-1, \ldots, a$ points.

Proof of Theorem 1. The case $k=2$ is well-known ([1]) and the case $k=3$ was done (in a different, but more explicit way) in [6] under different numerical assumptions. Hence to simplify the numerical computations we will assume $k \geq 4$. Set $y:=\pi_{d, k, q}-g$. By assumption we have $0 \leq y \leq$ $(\lfloor d / 2\rfloor+1-q) \cdot(\lfloor k / 2\rfloor+1)$. Proposition 1 gives the result when $g=\pi_{d, k, q}$. Hence we may assume $y>0$. Let $A \subset S$ be a general subset with $\sharp(A)=y$, say $A=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{y}\right\}$. For any $P \in S$ let $2 P$ denote the first infinitesimal neighborhood of $P$ in $S$, i.e. the closed zero-dimensional subscheme of $S$ with $\mathcal{I}_{P, S}{ }^{2}$ as its ideal sheaf. Hence length $(2 P)=3$. Fix a base point free $M \in \operatorname{Pic}^{d}(Y)$.

Claim. There is a reduced curve $\left.C \in \mid \mathcal{I}_{2 P_{1} \cup \ldots \cup 2 P_{y}}(M, k)\right) \mid$ such that $\operatorname{Sing}(C)=A$ and each $P_{i} \in A$ is an ordinary node of $C$.

Proof of the Claim. By Bertini's theorem it is sufficient to show that the linear system $\left|\mathcal{I}_{2 P_{1} \cup \ldots \cup 2 P_{y}}(M, k)\right|$ has no base point outside $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{y}\right\}$ and that a general $\left.C \in \mid \mathcal{I}_{2 P_{1} \cup \ldots \cup 2 P_{y}}(M, k)\right) \mid$ has an ordinary node at each point of $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{y}\right\}$. Take $L, L^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{\lfloor d / 2\rfloor}(Y)$ such that either $L^{\otimes 2} \cong M$ (case $d$ even) or $L^{\otimes 2}(Q) \cong M$ for some $Q \in Y$ (case $d$ odd) and apply Proposition 2 taking $R:=L, v:=\lfloor k / 2\rfloor, a:=y$ and $A=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{a}\right\}$.

The Claim shows that the image of $S$ by the linear system $|L|$ is not weakly defective in the sense of [2] and hence it is not defective, so that the linear system of curves in $|L|$ has the expected dimension $\operatorname{dim}(|L|)-3 y$, i.e. $h^{0}\left(S, \mathcal{I}_{2 P_{1} \cup \ldots \cup 2 P_{y}}(M, k)\right)=h^{0}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}(M, k)\right)-3 y=(k+1)(d+1-q)-3 y$ and $h^{1}\left(S, \mathcal{I}_{2 P_{1} \cup \cdots \cup 2 P_{y}}(M, k)\right)=0$. By [2], Th. 1.4, the general such curve, $C$, has $y$ ordinary nodes as its only singularities. Now we will check that a general $\left.C \in \mid \mathcal{I}_{2 P_{1} \cup \ldots \cup 2 P_{y}}(M, k)\right) \mid$ is integral. Since $C$ is nodal, it is reduced. Assume that $C$ has $t \geq 2$ irreducible components, say $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{t}$. Since $\sharp(\operatorname{Sing}(C))=$ $y$ and each sigular point of $C$ is an ordinary node, this give a very strong restriction on the sum of the intersection numbers $\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq t} C_{i} \cdot C_{j}$. Since $S^{y}$ is irreducible and $A \subset S^{y}$ is general in $S^{y}$, moving $A$ we also see that either each point of $A$ is contained in exactly two irreducible components of $C$ or each $P \in A$ is in contained a unique irreducible component of $C$. In the first case we get $t=2$. Furthermore, moving again $A$ we also see that
$C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are algebraically equivalent. Since $C_{1} \cdot C_{2}=y$, the contradiction comes from the inequality $2\lfloor d / 2\rfloor\lfloor k / 2\rfloor>y$. In the second case we get $t \geq y$ and $C_{i} \cap C_{j}=\emptyset$ for all $i \geq j$. Hence all $C_{i}$ are fibers of one of the projections $\pi_{1}: S \rightarrow Y$ or $\pi_{2}: S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$, contradicting the assumptions $d>0$ and $k>0$. Take $C$ as in the Claim and integral. and let $\nu: X \rightarrow C$ be the normalization. The curve $X$ satisfies the thesis of Theorem 1 for the curve $Y$, the genus $g$ and the integers $d, k$.

Our main numerical restriction in the statement of Theorem 1 came from the use of Proposition 2.
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