



Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms for higher rank groups

Guangshi Lü (吕广世)

School of Mathematics, Shandong University

gs1v@sdu.edu.cn

Joint work with F. Hou, Y. Jiang, X. Yan

[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

Page 1 of 59

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)



Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

1

Möbius Randomness Law in Classical Analytic Number Theory

[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[◀◀](#) [▶▶](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

Page 2 of 59

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

- One of the basic general problems in analytic number theory is to understand as much as possible the fluctuation of the Möbius function $\mu(n)$. Obviously the trivial bound is

$$\left| \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) \right| \leq x.$$

- The Prime Number Theorem is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) = o(x).$$

- The Riemann Hypothesis is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) = O(x^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}).$$



Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 3 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

- From ancient Greek to modern times the distribution of primes constitutes the core of number theory.

$$\sum_p f(p), \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_n \Lambda(n) f(n).$$

- In analytic number theory, one of the approach is the explicit formula, which connects these sums with zeros of L -functions (e.g. the Riemann zeta-function).
- However, even assuming the Riemann Hypothesis, this approach does not always work (or it is not always applicable). Find **a substitute for the Riemann hypothesis or go beyond the capability of RH.**



Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 4 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

- Even from the simple expression in elementary number theory,

$$\Lambda(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \log \frac{n}{d} = - \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \log d,$$

it is not difficult to predict the behavior of sums over primes

$$\sum_n \Lambda(n) f(n)$$

by appealing to a randomness of the Möbius function.

[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

◀▶

◀▶

Page 5 of 59

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)



Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

- **Möbius Randomness Law.** The Möbius function $\mu(m)$ changes sign randomly so that for any "reasonable" sequence of complex numbers $\mathcal{A} = (a_m)$ the twisted sum

$$\sum_{m \leq x} \mu(m) a_m$$

is relatively small due to the cancellation of its terms.

- Classical analytic number theory: "reasonable".
- Sarnak: a_n arises from a zero-entropy dynamical system.
- Green, Bourgain: low-complexity $AC^0(d)$ functions, computed using a bounded depth circuit.

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 6 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



- Already the most trivial case $a_n \equiv 1$ is equivalent to the prime number theorem

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \mu(n) \ll N \exp(-c(\log N)^{\frac{3}{5}} (\log \log N)^{-\frac{1}{5}}).$$

- A stronger version of the Sarnak conjecture can lead to some nontrivial bounds involving sums over primes. See e.g. Green and Tao [2008] in the case of nilsequences.
- H. Davenport [1937]: for any real number α , $X \geq 2$ and $A > 0$,

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \mu(n) e(n\alpha) \ll_A N (\log N)^{-A}.$$

Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

Page 7 of 59

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)



- Fouvry and Ganguly: two sequences (x_n) and (y_n) of complex numbers are **strong asymptotically orthogonal** if

$$\sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} x_n y_n = O_A \left((\log N)^{-A} \sum_{n \leq N} |x_n y_n| \right)$$

for every $A \geq 0$, uniformly for $N \geq 2$.

- Davenport's result means that $\{\mu(n)\}$ and $\{e(n\alpha)\}$ are strong orthogonal.

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 8 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



- Davenport's result, together with the techniques of the incomplete Λ -functions, implies Vinogradov's ternary Goldbach problems, i.e.

$$G_3(N) = \sum_{n_1+n_2+n_3=N} \Lambda(n_1)\Lambda(n_2)\Lambda(n_3).$$

- The heuristic Möbius Randomness Law justifies (of course not rigorously) the stronger form of the binary Goldbach conjecture, i.e.

$$G_2(N) = \sum_{n_1+n_2=N} \Lambda(n_1)\Lambda(n_2).$$



- Following the proof of Davenport, one can show

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \mu(n) e(n^k \alpha) \ll_A N (\log N)^{-A}.$$

- Hajela and Smith [1987] found that provided that there are no Siegel zeros,

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \mu(n) e(n\alpha) \ll N \exp(-c\sqrt{\log N}).$$

- Under the generalized Riemann Hypothesis, Baker and Harman [1989] proved that

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \mu(n) e(n\alpha) \ll N^{\frac{3}{4} + \varepsilon}.$$



Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

2



Automorphic forms and $GL(2)$ analogue of Davenport's result

[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)



Page 11 of 59

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)



Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

- Automorphic L -functions, introduced by Langlands in 1960s, are functions of a complex variable associated to an automorphic form, generalizing the Dirichlet L -function and the Mellin transform of a modular form. They are important tools in the Langlands functoriality conjecture.
- The Generalized Riemann Hypothesis, the Generalized Ramanujan Conjecture, and the Generalized Lindelöf Hypothesis are three main conjectures in the theory of automorphic L -functions.

[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

Page 12 of 59

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)



- Fourier coefficients of cusp form are mysterious objects and an interesting question is how its Fourier coefficients are distributed.
- Let f be a primitive holomorphic or Maass cusp form for the group $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, and $a_f(n)$ its n th normalized Fourier coefficient

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_f(n) n^{(k-1)/2} e^{2\pi i n z};$$

$$f(z) = \rho_f(1) \sqrt{y} \sum_{n \geq 1} a_f(n) K_{i\kappa_{fp}}(2\pi |n|y) e_f(nx).$$

*Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II*

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 13 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



- Let $F(z)$ be a normalized Maass form of type $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2)$ for $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ and an eigenfunction of all Hecke operators T_n . Then it has a Fourier expansion

$$\sum_{\gamma \in U_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash SL(2, \mathbb{Z})} \sum_{n_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2 \neq 0} \frac{A_F(n_1, n_2)}{|n_1 n_2|} \times W_J \left(\begin{pmatrix} n_1 |n_2| & & \\ & n_1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} z, \nu, \psi_1, \frac{n_2}{|n_2|} \right),$$

where $A_F(n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{C}$, $A_F(1, 1) = 1$, and W_J denotes the Jacquet Whittaker function.

Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 14 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

- **Hecke multiplicative relation**

$$a_f(n)a_f(m) = \sum_{d|(m,n)} a_f\left(\frac{mn}{d^2}\right).$$

- **The Ramanujan conjecture** (Deligne's theorem)

$$|a_f(n)| \leq d(n).$$

- **The Sato-Tate conjecture** (Theorem of Barnet-Lamb, Geraghty, Harris, and Taylor)

If $f(t)$ ($t \in [0, \pi]$) is a continuous function,

$$\sum_{p \leq x} f(\theta_p) \sim \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi f(\theta) \sin^2 \theta d\theta \right) \frac{x}{\log x},$$

where $a_f(p) = 2 \cos \theta_p$, p runs through the prime numbers.



Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

Home Page

Title Page



Page 15 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

Moments of Fourier Coefficients

- In 1927, Hecke proved that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} a_f(n) \ll_f x^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

- Subsequent improvements are due to Wilton, Walfisz (Summation formula, Bessel functions)

$$\sum_{n \leq x} a_f(n) \ll_f x^{\frac{1}{3}(1+\theta)}, \quad \text{if } |a_f(n)| \ll n^\theta.$$

- Then progress towards θ [by Kloosterman, Davenport, Salié, Weil] implied better results. In particular, Deligne's result: $\sum_{n \leq x} a_f(n) \ll_f x^{\frac{1}{3}}$.



Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 16 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

- Rankin, Jie Wu etc

$$\sum_{n \leq x} a_f(n) \ll_f x^{\frac{1}{3}} (\log x)^{-0.0652}.$$

- Rankin, Selberg (1940):

$$\sum_{n \leq x} a_f(n)^2 = c_2 x + O_f(x^{\frac{3}{5}}),$$

$$\sum_{n \leq x} a_f(n) a_g(n) \ll x^{\frac{3}{5}}.$$

- **Remark:** $\frac{1+\theta}{3}$ and $\frac{3}{5}$ have never been improved.
- Moreno and Shahidi (1983):

$$\sum_{n \leq x} a_f(n)^4 \sim c_4 x \log x.$$



Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 17 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

Fourier coefficients over arithmetic progressions

- R.A. Smith [1993]: for $q \leq x^{\frac{2}{3}-\varepsilon}$

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \equiv a \pmod{q}, (a,q)=1}} a_f(n) \ll x/q.$$

- This problem is similar to the distribution of divisor function over arithmetic progressions studied by Selberg, Hooley,
- Here the main point is NOT how sharp the error term, but rather the range of uniformity in the modulus q .
- **Shifted convolution sums, Sign Changes, Estimates over sparse sequences....**



Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[«](#) [»](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

Page 18 of 59

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)



Strong orthogonality between $a_f(n)$ and $e(n\alpha)$

- **Square Root Cancellation:** For any real α

$$\sum_{n \leq x} a_f(n) e(n\alpha) \ll_f x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x,$$

where the implied constant depends only on f (**not on α**).

- N.J.E. Pitt [2001]

$$\sum_{n \leq x} a_f(n) e(\alpha n^2 + \beta n) \ll_f x^{1-\delta},$$

where $\delta < 1/8$, and the implied constant depends only on f (**not on α and β**).

Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 19 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

Strong orthogonality between $A_F(n, 1)$ and $e(n\alpha)$

- S. Miller [2006]: for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $\epsilon > 0$ one has

$$\sum_{n \leq N} A_F(n, 1) e(n\alpha) \ll N^{\frac{3}{4} + \epsilon},$$

where the implied constant depends only on the form F and ϵ .

- These sums over integers signify an enormous number of cancellations.
- We show that a better life exists in the world of automorphic forms than in the zoo of degree one L -functions by applying these cancellations.



Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

Page 20 of 59

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)



- Fouvry and Ganguly [2014] ask whether strong orthogonality for

$$\sum_{n \leq X} a_f(n) e(n\alpha)$$

is manifested if, instead of sums over integers, when we consider **the corresponding sum over primes**, or GL(2) analogue of Davenport's theorem

$$\sum_{n \leq X} \Lambda(n) a_f(n) e(n\alpha),$$

$$\sum_{n \leq X} \mu(n) a_f(n) e(n\alpha).$$

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 21 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

- A. Perelli [1984] studied the exponential sums connected with Ramanujan's τ -function over primes,

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \Lambda(n) \tau(n) e(n\alpha),$$

$\ll N^{\frac{11}{2}} (N\rho^{-\frac{1}{2}} + N^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} + N^{\frac{5}{6}}) \log^c N$, where

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{a}{\rho} \right| \leq \frac{1}{\rho^2}, \quad (a, \rho) = 1, \quad \rho \geq 1$$

for some integers a, ρ .

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 22 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



- As a corollary, he derived a "Vinogradov three primes theorem" for the coefficients $\tau(n)$

$$\sum_{n_1+n_2+n_3=N} \Lambda(n_1)\tau(n_1)\Lambda(n_2)\tau(n_2)\Lambda(n_3)\tau(n_3)$$

$$\ll \frac{N^{\frac{37}{2}}}{(\log N)^A}.$$

- A. Perelli also remarked that similar results hold in general for the Fourier coefficients of the cusp forms for the full modular group which are eigenfunctions for the Hecke operators.

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 23 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



- **Theorem 0.** Fouvry and Ganguly [2014]: There exists an effective absolute $c_0 > 0$ such that for cusp form f for the group $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, there exists an effective constant $C_0(f) > 0$ such that

$$\left| \sum_{n \leq X} \Lambda(n) a_f(n) e(n\alpha) \right| \leq C_0(f) X \exp(-c_0 \sqrt{\log x}),$$

and

$$\left| \sum_{n \leq X} \mu(n) a_f(n) e(n\alpha) \right| \leq C_0(f) X \exp(-c_0 \sqrt{\log x}),$$

for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $X \geq 2$.



- The significant improvement is the removal of the dependence on α .
- It can be interpreted as the PNT for Fourier coefficients of cusp forms with additive twists.
- It is closely related to the general programme advanced by Sarnak, *Three lectures on the Möbius function randomness and dynamics*.
- The sequences $\{a_f(n)e(n\alpha)\}$ does not fit immediately in Sarnak's context, because they are unbounded (the unknown Ramanujan Conjecture). A suitable reformulation would apply to these sequences is expected.

Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 25 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

- Davenport's (weaker) bound is a reflection of the exceptional zero, which is not yet ruled out in the $GL(1)$ case.
- Hoffstein and Ramakrishnan [1995] have shown that there are no exceptional zeros for L -functions on $GL(2)$ that are not associated to grossen-characters of quadratic fields.

[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

Page 26 of 59

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)



- Could we establish strong orthogonality for sums

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \Lambda(n) a_f(n) e(\alpha n^2 + \beta n),$$

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \mu(n) a_f(n) e(\alpha n^2 + \beta n),$$

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \Lambda(n) A_F(n, 1) e(n\alpha),$$

and

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \mu(n) A_F(n, 1) e(n\alpha)?$$

Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 27 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

3



Our Results



Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)



Page 28 of 59

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)



Theorem 1. (JNT, 2015)

Let $N \geq 2$ and f be a primitive holomorphic or Maass cusp form for the group $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Let $a_f(n)$ denote the n th normalized Fourier coefficient of the form f . Then there exists an effective absolute $c > 0$ such that, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists an effective constant $C(f) > 0$ such that

$$\left| \sum_{n \leq N} \Lambda(n) a_f(n) e(\alpha n^2 + \beta n) \right| \leq C(f) N \exp(-c \sqrt{\log N}).$$

Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 29 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

Home Page

Title Page

⏪ ⏩

◀ ▶

Page 30 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

Theorem 2. (Quart. J. Math., 2016)

Let $N \geq 2$ and $L(s, F)$ be the L -function associated to a Hecke-Maass form F for $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$. Let $A_F(n, 1)$ denote the n th coefficient of the Dirichlet series for $L(s, F)$. Then for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists an effective constant $c > 0$, such that

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \Lambda(n) A_F(n, 1) e(n\alpha) \ll N \exp(-c\sqrt{\log N}),$$

where the implied constant depends only on the form F .



Corollary. "Vinogradov three primes theorem" for coefficients of the Rankin-Selberg L -functions

$$\sum_{N=p_1+p_2+p_3} a_f(p_1)^2 a_f(p_2)^2 a_f(p_3)^2$$
$$= \sum_{N=p_1+p_2+p_3} 1 + O(N^2 \exp(-c\sqrt{\log N})).$$

Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

Page 31 of 59

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)



Idea.

- *Major arcs:*

Suitable version of PNT for automorphic L -functions. (**No poles and exceptional zero**)

- *Minor arcs:*

- Vinogradov's method for exponential sum via Vaughan's identity;
- Strong orthogonality between $a_f(n)$ and $e(\alpha n^2 + \beta n)$ (or $A_F(n, 1)$ and $e(n\alpha)$).
(**to deal with Type I' sums**)

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 32 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

4



Proof of Theorem 1.



Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

Home Page

Title Page



Page 33 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



By Dirichlet's theorem on Diophantine Approximation, there exist two integers l and q such that

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{l}{q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{qQ}, \quad 1 \leq q \leq Q, \quad (l, q) = 1.$$

• *Major arcs:*

$$1 \leq q \leq \exp(C_0 \sqrt{\log N}).$$

• *Minor arcs:*

$$\exp(C_0 \sqrt{\log N}) < q \leq N^2 \exp(-C_0 \sqrt{\log N}).$$

Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 34 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

Major arcs:

- By partial summation, it suffices to consider

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) a_f(n) e \left(\frac{ln^2}{q} + \beta n \right)$$

- It equals

$$\frac{1}{q} \sum_{b \bmod q} \sum_{a \bmod q} e \left(\frac{la^2 - ba}{q} \right) \\ \times \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) a_f(n) e \left(n \left(\frac{b}{q} + \beta \right) \right).$$

- The estimate for major arcs follows from Theorem 0 proved by Fouvry and Ganguly.



Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 35 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

Minor arcs: We introduce some notations.

- The Hecke L -function associated to the form f , for $\Re s > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} L(f, s) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_f(n) n^{-s} \\ &= \prod_p (1 - a_f(p) p^{-s} + p^{-2s})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

•

$$L(f, s)^{-1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n, f) n^{-s},$$

•

$$-\frac{L'(f, s)}{L(f, s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda(n, f) n^{-s},$$



Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 36 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



where

$$\mu(n, f) = \sum_{\substack{s, t \geq 1 \\ st^2 = n}} \mu(s) \mu^2(st) a_f(s),$$

$$\Lambda(n, f) = \begin{cases} a_f(p) \log p, & \text{if } n = p, \\ (a_f(p^k) - a_f(p^{k-2})) \log p, & \text{if } n = p^k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 37 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

Minor arcs:

- Analogue of Vaughan's identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{L'(f, s)}{L(f, s)} \\ &= F(s) - L'(f, s)G(s) - L(f, s)F(s)G(s) \\ &+ \left(-\frac{L'(f, s)}{L(f, s)} - F(s) \right) \times (1 - L(f, s)G(s)). \end{aligned}$$

- Here

$$F(s) = \sum_{n \leq X} \Lambda(n, f) n^{-s},$$

and

$$G(s) = \sum_{n \leq Y} \mu(n, f) n^{-s}.$$



Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 38 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Minor arcs:

- By Kim-Sarnak's bound, it suffices to consider

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \Lambda(n, f) e(\alpha n^2 + \beta n).$$

- After applying the analogue of Vaughan's identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \leq N} \Lambda(n, f) e(\alpha n^2 + \beta n) \\ &= S_1 + S_2 - S_3 + S_4 + O((XY)^{1+\varepsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 39 of 59

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

Minor arcs: Here

$$S_1 = \sum_{n \leq X} \Lambda(n, f) e(\alpha n^2 + \beta n),$$

$$S_2 = \sum_{m \leq Y} \mu(m, f) \sum_{mn \leq N} a_f(n) (\log n) \\ \times e(\alpha(mn)^2 + \beta mn),$$

$$S_3 = \sum_{m \leq XY} a(m, f) \sum_{mn \leq N} a_f(n) e(\alpha(mn)^2 + \beta mn),$$

$$S_4 = \sum_{X < m < N/Y} \sum_{Y < n \leq N/m} b(n, f) \Lambda(m, f) \\ \times e(\alpha(mn)^2 + \beta mn),$$



Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 40 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

Minor arcs, Type I' Sums:

We call S_2 and S_3 Type I' sums (which means that the n -indexed coefficient is no longer 1, but we have some control over the inner exponential sum)

$$\sum_{m \leq Y} \mu(m, f) \sum_{mn \leq N} a_f(n) (\log n) e(\alpha(mn)^2 + \beta mn),$$

$$\sum_{m \leq XY} a(m, f) \sum_{mn \leq N} a_f(n) e(\alpha(mn)^2 + \beta mn),$$

where

$$a(m, f) = \sum_{\substack{m_1 m_2 = m \\ m_1 \leq X, m_2 \leq Y}} \Lambda(m_1, f) \mu(m_2, f).$$



Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 41 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Minor arcs, Type I' Sums:

- Our observation is that Pitt's result (or Liu-Ren's), which states that uniformly for α and β ,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} a_f(n) e(\alpha n^2 + \beta n) \ll_f x^{\frac{7}{8} + \varepsilon},$$

can be directly applied to treat the inner sum of S_2 and S_3 .

- Hence after choosing

$$X = Y^4 = \exp(C_0 \sqrt{\log N}),$$

we have

$$S_2, S_3 \ll N^{\frac{7}{8} + \varepsilon}.$$

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 42 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Minor arcs, Type II Sums:

Recall that

$$S_4 = \sum_{X < m < N/Y} \sum_{Y < n \leq N/m} b(n, f) \Lambda(m, f) \\ \times e(\alpha(mn)^2 + \beta mn),$$

where

$$b(n, f) = \sum_{\substack{n_1 n_2 = n \\ n_2 \leq Y}} a_f(n_1) \mu(n_2, f).$$

We shall estimate it by applying classical method in analytic number theory.

Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 43 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



One Lemma:

Let $M, N, x \geq 2$. Let $\{a(m) : 1 \leq m \leq M\}$ and $\{b(n) : 1 \leq n \leq N\}$ be any two complex-valued sequences. Suppose that the bounds

$$a) \sum_{m \sim M} |a(m)|^2 \ll M \log^{c_0} M,$$

$$b) \sum_{n \sim N} |b(n)|^2 \ll N \log^{c_1} N$$

hold for some $c_0, c_1 > 0$. Then there exists a positive constant c , depending on c_0, c_1 , such that,



One Lemma, Continued:

for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{l}{q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{qQ}, \quad 1 \leq q \leq Q, \quad (l, q) = 1.$$

and any $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, one has

$$\sum_{\substack{m \sim M, n \sim N \\ mn \sim x}} a(m)b(n)e(\alpha(mn)^2 + \beta mn) \\ \ll x \left(\frac{1}{\rho} + \frac{1}{M} + \frac{1}{N^4} + \frac{1}{\rho} x^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{8}} \log^c x.$$

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 45 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

Minor arcs, Type II Sums:

- In the absence of the Ramanujan conjecture, it remains to show

$$\sum_{n \sim N} |\Lambda(n, f)|^2 \ll N \log^{c_0} N,$$

$$\sum_{n \sim N} |b(n, f)|^2 \ll N \log^{c_1} N.$$

- These can be handled by higher power moments of $a_f(n)$ and the divisor function

$$\sum_{n \leq N} a_f(n)^8 \ll N(\log N)^{13},$$

$$\sum_{n \leq N} d(n)^k \ll N(\log N)^{2^A - 1}.$$



Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 46 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Minor arcs, Type II Sums:

- Now it is standard that S_4 can be written as a linear combination of $O(\log^2 N)$, each of which is of the form

$$\sum_{\substack{m \sim M, n \sim N' \\ mn \sim N''}} b(n, f) \Lambda(m, f) e(\alpha(mn)^2 + \beta mn).$$

- After applying Lemma, we have

$$S_4 \ll N \exp(-c\sqrt{\log N}).$$

Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 47 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

5



Proof of Theorem 2.



Randomness Law

GL(2)

Automorphic...

Proof I

Proof II

Home Page

Title Page



Page 48 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

Additive twists of Fourier coefficients of $GL(3)$ Maass forms have appealed to a number of researchers.

- S. Miller [2006], Cancellation in additively twisted sums on $GL(n)$.
- X. Li and M. Young [2012], Additive twists of Fourier coefficients of symmetric-square lifts.
- D. Godber [2013], Additive twists of Fourier coefficients of modular forms.
- X. Li [2014], Additive twists of Fourier coefficients of $GL(3)$ Maass forms.

Our Theorem 2 is one result on additive twists of Fourier coefficients of $GL(3)$ Maass formst at prime arguments.



Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

Page 49 of 59

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

Fourier coefficients of $GL(3)$ Maass forms:

- $A_F(n_1, n_2)$ satisfies the following multiplicativity relations: if $(n_1 n_2, n'_1 n'_2) = 1$,

$$A_F(n_1 n'_1, n_2 n'_2) = A_F(n_1, n_2) A_F(n'_1, n'_2),$$

$$A_F(n, 1) A_F(n_1, n_2) = \sum_{\substack{d_0 d_1 d_2 = n \\ d_1 | n_1, d_2 | n_2}} A_F\left(\frac{n_1 d_0}{d_1}, \frac{n_2 d_1}{d_2}\right),$$

$$A_F(1, n) A_F(n_1, n_2) = \sum_{\substack{d_0 d_1 d_2 = n \\ d_1 | n_1, d_2 | n_2}} A_F\left(\frac{n_1 d_2}{d_1}, \frac{n_2 d_0}{d_2}\right),$$

$$A_F(n_1, 1) A_F(1, n_2) = \sum_{d | (n_1, n_2)} A_F\left(\frac{n_1}{d}, \frac{n_2}{d}\right),$$

$$A_F(n_1, n_2) = \overline{A_F(n_2, n_1)}.$$



Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 50 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



The Generalized Ramanujan Conjecture:

- The generalized Ramanujan conjecture asserts that

$$|A_F(n, 1)| \ll n^\varepsilon.$$

- The current best estimate is due to Kim and Sarnak

$$|A_F(n, 1)| \leq n^{\frac{5}{14} + \varepsilon}.$$

Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 51 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Major arcs, $1 \leq q \leq \exp(C_0\sqrt{\log N})$:

- Let $N \geq 2$ and F be a Hecke-Maass form for $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$. Let χ be any Dirichlet character modulo q . Suppose that α belongs to the major arcs. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \Lambda(n) A_F(n, 1) \chi(n) \ll q^{\frac{3}{2}} N \exp(-c\sqrt{\log N}),$$

where the implied constant only depends on the form F .

- The proof is based on analytic properties (zero-free region, etc.) of $L(s, F \times \chi)$.

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 52 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Notations:

- Recall that the L -function $L(s, F)$ is defined by

$$L(s, F) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_F(n, 1)}{n^s}.$$

- We also denote

$$L(s, F)^{-1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n, F) n^{-s},$$
$$-\frac{L'(s, F)}{L(s, F)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda(n, F) n^{-s}.$$

Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 53 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

$$\mu_F(n) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } p^4 | n, \\ \prod_{l=1}^3 \prod_{p^l || n} (-1)^\ell \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_\ell \leq 3} \\ \times \alpha_F(p, j_1) \cdots \alpha_F(p, j_\ell), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\Lambda_F(n) = \begin{cases} \log p \sum_{j=1}^3 \alpha_F(p, j)^k, & \text{if } n = p^k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 54 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Minor arcs: Namely,

$$\exp(C_0\sqrt{\log N}) < q \leq N \exp(-C_0\sqrt{\log N}).$$

- Analogue of Vaughan's identity on GL(3) Maass forms gives

$$S_2 = \sum_{m \leq Y} \mu(m, F) \sum_{mn \leq N} A_F(n, 1) (\log n) e(mn\alpha)$$

$$S_3 = \sum_{m \leq XY} a_F(m) \sum_{mn \leq N} A_F(n, 1) e(mn\alpha),$$

$$S_4 = \sum_{X < m < N/Y} \sum_{Y < n \leq N/m} b_F(n) \Lambda(m, F) e(mn\alpha).$$

Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

Home Page

Title Page

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 55 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Minor arcs:

- To go further, we need evaluate sums involving arithmetic functions $d(n)|\mu(n, F)|^2$, $d(n)|A_F(n, 1)|^2$, and $d(n)|\Lambda(n, F)|^2$. We have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} d(n)|\mu(n, F)|^2 \ll x \log x,$$

$$\sum_{n \leq x} d(n)|A_F(n, 1)|^2 \ll x \log x,$$

$$\sum_{n \leq x} d(n)|\Lambda(n, F)|^2 \ll x \log^3 x.$$

Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[«](#) [»](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

Page 56 of 59

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

Minor arcs:

- $L(s, F)$ can be written as an Euler product

$$L(s, F) = \prod_p \prod_{1 \leq j \leq 3} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_F(p, j)}{p^s} \right)^{-1}.$$

- Here the local parameters satisfy

$$\alpha_F(p, 1)\alpha_F(p, 2)\alpha_F(p, 3) = 1,$$

$$A_F(p, 1) = \alpha_F(p, 1) + \alpha_F(p, 2) + \alpha_F(p, 3),$$

$$A_F(1, p) = \alpha_F(p, 1)\alpha_F(p, 2) + \alpha_F(p, 1)\alpha_F(p, 3) \\ + \alpha_F(p, 2)\alpha_F(p, 3),$$

which are implied by the multiplicative properties of $A_F(n_1, n_2)$.



Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

Home Page

Title Page



Page 57 of 59

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Minor arcs:

Then the average behavior of $|\mu(n, F)|^2$, $|A_F(n, 1)|^2$ and $|\Lambda(n, F)|^2$ can be controlled by applying

- the Selberg-Delange method,
- the multiplicative properties of $A_F(n_1, n_2)$,
- the Rankin-Selberg L -function $L(s, F \times F)$.

We shall omit the detailed proof of Theorem 2. As for the corollary, the proof follows directly from Theorem 2, the basic identity of the circle method, and the identity

$$a_f(p)^2 = 1 + a_f(p^2).$$

[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

Page 58 of 59

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)



Randomness Law
GL(2)
Automorphic...
Proof I
Proof II

Thank You !

[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)



Page 59 of 59

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)