

# THE CALCULUS OF VIRTUAL SPECIES AND $\mathbb{K}$ -SPECIES.

by

Yeong-Nan Yeh

Université du Québec à Montréal\*

## Introduction

In [3], Joyal introduces the category of species together with several operations such as  $+$ ,  $\cdot$ ,  $\times$ ,  $\circ$  and  $'$ . In [4], he states the substitution rule for virtual species. In this paper, we develop a method for proving the correctness of this rule; we also further study and extend some aspects of the theory of virtual species. In particular, we will

- (1) Show that the ring of virtual species (resp  $d$ -species) is a unique factorization domain (**UFD**).
- (2) Give a relation between  $\times$  and  $\circ$ .
- (3) Extend all the identities involving  $+$ ,  $\cdot$ ,  $\times$ ,  $\circ$ ,  $'$ ,  $0$  and  $1$  to the setting of virtual species and, more generally,  $\mathbb{K}$ -species.
- (4) Give some  $\mathbb{K}$ -species which are analogues of the logarithm and trigonometric functions.

I am grateful to Professor Stephen Schanuel, my thesis advisor, for his encouragement and valuable discussions throughout this work. I would like to thank P. Bouchard, A. Joyal, J. Labelle, G. Labelle, P. Leroux and D. Rawlings for their useful suggestions.

---

\* Stagiaire post-doctoral. Avec l'aide financière des subventions d'équipes FCAR (Québec EQ -1608) et CRSNG (Canada A5660).

## Chapter I: Background

### § I.1. Algebra

In this paper, "ring" always means commutative ring with 1.

**Definition I.1.1.**  $(\mathbb{K}, 0, 1, +, \cdot)$  is a **half-ring** iff  $(\mathbb{K}, +)$  and  $(\mathbb{K}, \cdot)$  are commutative monoids and the two "distributive" laws: (1)  $(a+b)c = ac + bc$ ; (2)  $0c = 0$  hold in  $\mathbb{K}$ .

If  $\mathbb{K}$  is a half-ring and  $(\mathbb{M}, \cdot)$  is a monoid with the property that for each  $m \in \mathbb{M}$ , there are only finitely many pairs  $(m_1, m_2)$  such that  $m = m_1 m_2$ , then the set of all functions  $f: \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ , denoted  $\mathbb{K}[[\mathbb{M}]]$ , gets a half-ring structure with pointwise addition and multiplication by convolution:

$$(f \cdot g)(m) = \sum_{m=m_1 m_2} f(m_1) g(m_2).$$

Obviously,  $\mathbb{K}[[\mathbb{M}]]$  is a ring iff  $\mathbb{K}$  is a ring. The map  $\mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[[\mathbb{M}]]$  sending each  $m$  to its characteristic function is an embedding of monoids if  $\mathbb{K} \neq 0$ , and it is customary to identify  $\mathbb{M}$  with its image, and to write  $\sum_{m \in \mathbb{M}} f(m)m$  instead of  $f$ , when this is convenient.

Let  $K, H$  be two groups of permutations of the finite sets  $F, E$  respectively. The **wreath product**  $K \wr H$  is defined to be the group of permutations  $t$  of the set  $F \times E$  which are of the form  $t(f, e) = (\alpha(e)(f), h(e))$  where  $\alpha$  is a function:  $E \rightarrow K$  and  $h \in H$ . Thus  $t$  is determined by an element of  $H$  and a function  $\alpha$ . So  $|K \wr H| = |K|^{|E|} |H|$ . If  $G$  is a group of permutations of a set  $D$ , then  $(K \wr H) \wr G = K \wr (H \wr G)$ .

**Example I.1.2.**  $2 \wr 2 \wr 2 = D_4$  where  $D_4$  is the dihedral group of order 8.

**Definition I.1.3.** ([13]) Let  $H \subset E_1 \wr \wr \times E_2 \wr \wr \times \dots \times E_r \wr \wr$  and  $K_i \subset F_i \wr \wr$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . The **wreath product**  $(K_1, K_2, \dots, K_r) \wr H$  is defined to be the group of permutations  $t$  of the set  $F_1 \times E_1 + F_2 \times E_2 + \dots + F_r \times E_r$ , which are of the form: For  $1 \leq i \leq r$ ,  $t(f_i, e_i) = (\varphi_i(e_i)(f_i), h(e_i))$  where  $\varphi_i$  is a function  $E_i \rightarrow K_i$  and  $h \in H$ . Thus  $t$  is determined by an element of  $H$  and functions  $\varphi_i$  where  $1 \leq i \leq r$ .

So

$$|(K_1, K_2, \dots, K_r) \wr H| = |K_1|^{|E_1|} \cdot |K_2|^{|E_2|} \dots |K_r|^{|E_r|} \cdot |H|.$$

Given a finite set  $E$ , a partition  $\pi$  of  $E$  is a family  $E_i$  of non-empty subsets of  $E$  such that  $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$  and  $\cup E_i = E$ . Two partitions are equal iff they have the same elements. Let  $P[E]$  denote the set of all partitions of  $E$ . Let  $\Sigma E$  denote the disjoint union of  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_d$  where  $\underline{E} = (E_1, E_2, \dots, E_d) \in B^d$ ; We write  $\Sigma \underline{E} = E_1 + E_2 + \dots + E_d$ .

## § 1.2. Commutative algebra.

**Definition 1.2.1.** ([13]). Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be a ring. The **length** of any element  $r$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\ell(r)$ , is defined by: (a)  $\ell(0) = \infty$ ; (b)  $\ell(r) = 0$  if  $r$  is a unit; (c) otherwise,  $\ell(r) = \sup\{k \mid r = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k \text{ with } x_i \text{ non-zero and non-unit}\}$ .

**Definition 1.2.2.** ([13]). Let  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{S}$  be two rings. A ring homomorphism  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$  is called **local** if  $f(r)$  unit in  $\mathbb{S}$  implies  $r$  unit in  $\mathbb{R}$  and is called **unit-surjective** if  $s$  unit in  $\mathbb{S}$  implies  $\exists r \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $f(r) = s$ .

Let  $(\mathbb{R}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of UFD's and  $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of local, unit-surjective ring homomorphisms where  $\alpha_n: \mathbb{R}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_n$ , and let  $\langle \mathbb{R}, (\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rangle$  be the inverse limit of  $\langle (\mathbb{R}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rangle$  where  $\varphi_n$  is the canonical homomorphism from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}_n$ . In fact  $\varphi_n$  is a local unit-surjective ring homomorphism. We often write  $r_n$  instead of  $\varphi_n(r)$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Proposition 1.2.3.** The inverse limit  $\mathbb{R}$  of a sequence  $\mathbb{R}_n$  of UFD's and local, unit-surjective homomorphisms is an UFD.

**Proof.** Every non-zero and non-unit element  $r$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  can be factored into a finite product of irreducible elements since  $\ell(r) \leq \ell(r_n) \forall n$ . If  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\ell(r) = 1$  then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \ell(r_n) = 1$ . It can be proved that every irreducible element in  $\mathbb{R}$  is a prime. So  $\mathbb{R}$  is an UFD.

**Proposition 1.2.4.** Let  $(\mathbb{M}, \cdot)$  be a free commutative monoid and  $\mathbb{R}$  be an UFD then  $\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{M}]$  and  $\mathbb{R}[[\mathbb{M}]]$  are UFD's.

## Chapter II: The concepts of species and $\mathbb{K}$ -species

### § II.1. Group Sets

If  $X$  is a finite set, a permutation of  $X$  is a bijective map  $g: X \rightarrow X$ . Under the operation of composition, the set of all permutations of  $X$  forms a group  $X^\mathfrak{S}$ . We have  $|X^\mathfrak{S}| = |X|!$ , where we use  $|\cdot|$  to denote cardinality. If  $G$  is a subgroup of  $X^\mathfrak{S}$ , then we shall say that the pair  $(G, X)$  is a **group-set**. A subset  $Y$  of  $X$  is called a **G-invariant** subset if  $g(Y) \subset Y$  for any  $g \in G$ . Let  $(G, X)$  be a group-set,  $U$  be a finite set containing  $X$ , and  $Y$  be a G-invariant subset of  $X$ . For any  $g \in G$ , the **extension of  $g$  to  $U$** ,  $g^U$ , is defined by:  $g^U(u) = g(u)$  if  $u \in X$ ;  $g^U(u) = u$  otherwise. The **restriction of  $g$  to  $Y$** ,  $g_Y$ , is defined by:  $g_Y(y) = g(y)$  if  $y \in Y$ . We denote  $G^U = \{g^U \mid g \in G\}$  and  $G_Y = \{g_Y \mid g \in G\}$ .

Under the operation of composition,  $G^U$  and  $G_Y$  form groups and  $(G^U, U)$ ,  $(G_Y, Y)$  are group-sets. Since  $Y$  is a  $G$ -invariant subset of  $X$ , then  $X - Y$  is a  $G$ -invariant subset of  $X$  and  $(G_{X-Y}, X-Y)$  is a group-set.

**Definition II.1.1** ([13]). Let  $(G, X)$  and  $(H, Y)$  be two group-sets.  $(H, Y)$  is called a **reducing group-set** of  $(G, X)$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (a)  $Y$  is a  $G$ -invariant subset of  $X$ ;      (b)  $H = G_Y$ ;      (c)  $H^X \subset G$ .

**Definition II.1.2** ([13]). Let  $(H, Y)$  and  $(K, Z)$  be two group-sets, then

- (a) For any  $h \in H$  and  $k \in K$ , let  $h * k \in (Y+Z)\mathfrak{g}$  be defined by:  $(h * k)(u) = h(u)$  if  $u \in Y$ ;  
 $(h * k)(u) = k(u)$  if  $u \in Z$ .  
 (b) Let  $H * K$  denote the subgroup  $\{h * k | h \in H, k \in K\}$  of  $(Y + Z)\mathfrak{g}$ .  
 (c) The group-set  $(H * K, Y + Z)$  is called **external product** of the two group-sets  $(H, Y)$  and  $(K, Z)$  and is denoted:  $(H * K, Y + Z) = (H, Y) * (K, Z)$ .

From definition 1.2, we find the group  $H * K$  is the direct product of  $H^{Y+Z}$  and  $K^{Y+Z}$ . It is easy to check that the external product,  $*$ , satisfies the associative law.

**Lemma II.1.3.** If  $(G_Y, Y)$  is a reducing group-set of  $(G, X)$ , then

- (a)  $(G_{X-Y}, X-Y)$  is a reducing group-set of  $(G, X)$ ;      (b)  $(G, X) = (G_Y, Y) * (G_{X-Y}, X-Y)$ .

**Lemma II.1.4.** Let  $(G_Y, Y)$ ,  $(G_Z, Z)$  be two reducing group-sets of  $(G, X)$ , then so is  $(G_{Y \cap Z}, Y \cap Z)$ .

**Lemma II.1.5.** If  $(H, Y)$  is a reducing group-set of  $(G, X)$  and  $(K, Z)$  is a reducing group-set of  $(H, Y)$ , then  $(K, Z)$  is reducing group-set of  $(G, X)$ .

**Definition II.1.6.** ([13]) A group-set  $(G, X)$  is called an **atomic group-set** if  $X \neq \emptyset$  and  $(G, X)$  has no non-empty proper reducing group-set.

**Proposition II.1.7.** Every group-set  $(G, X)$  can be decomposed uniquely into an external product of atomic group-sets.

Let  $(G, X)$  and  $(H, Y)$  be two group-sets. We write  $(G, X) \sim (H, Y)$  if there exists a bijection  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $f^{-1}Gf = H$ . It is easy to prove that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the set of equivalence classes of group-sets. We have:

**Proposition II.1.8.**  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot)$  is a free monoid.

## § II.2. Species

Let **Sets** be the category of (small) sets and maps and **B** be the category of finite sets and bijections.

**Definition II.2.1** ([3]). A **species** is a functor  $S: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$  and a **morphism**  $\tau$  from species  $S$  to species  $T$  is a natural transformation from functor  $S$  to functor  $T$ .

If there is an isomorphism  $\tau$  from species  $S$  to species  $T$ , then we write  $S \approx T$ . (and use the notation  $S = T$  when we work "up to an isomorphism"). In what follows, the symbol  $S$  will be used sometimes to represent a species, and some other times to represent it's isomorphism class. The usage at a particular point in the text should be clear from the context. For any  $E \in \mathbf{B}$  and any species  $S$  we write  $S[E]$  for the image of  $E$  under  $S$ . Every element in  $S[E]$  is called an  $S$ -structure on  $E$ .

The reader is referred to [3] (or [5]) for the definitions of the sum  $S + T$ , product  $S \cdot T$ , cartesian product  $S \times T$ , derivative  $S'$ , and substitution  $S \circ T$  (if  $T[\emptyset] = \emptyset$ ), of two species  $S$  and  $T$ . They are summarized as follows:

**Definition II.2.2** ([3]). For any  $E \in \mathbf{B}$ ,

$$(a) \quad (S + T)[E] = S[E] + T[E] \quad (b) \quad (S \cdot T)[E] = \sum_{E = E_1 + E_2} S[E_1] \times T[E_2].$$

$$(c) \quad (S \times T)[E] = S[E] \times T[E] \quad (d) \quad S'[E] = S[E + 1]$$

$$(d) \quad (S \circ T)[E] = \sum_{\pi \in P[E]} S[\pi] \times \prod_{C \in \pi} T[C]$$

where  $P[E]$  is the set of all partitions of  $E$ .

A species  $S$  is called a **subspecies** of the species  $U$  if  $S[E] \subset U[E]$  for all finite sets  $E$  and the inclusion is a natural transformation. It is obvious that if  $S$  is a subspecies of  $U$  then there exists a unique species  $T$  such that  $U = S + T$ .

**Example II.2.3.** The zero species,  $0$ , is defined by:  $0[E] = \emptyset$  for any finite set  $E$ .  $0$  is the unit element for addition.

**Example II.2.4.**  $1 = \mathbf{B}(\emptyset, -)$ , so the species  $1$  satisfies  $1[E] = \emptyset$  for any non-empty finite set  $E$  and  $1[\emptyset] = \{*\}$ ; i.e. there is a unique  $1$ -structure on the empty set.  $1$  is the unit element for multiplication.

**Example II.2.5.**  $X = \mathbf{B}(\{*\}, -)$ , so  $X[E] = \{*\}$  if  $|E| = 1$ ;  $X[E] = \emptyset$  if  $|E| \neq 1$ .

**Example II.2.6.** For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , write  $\mathbf{n} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . We have  $X^n = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{n}, -) = X \cdot X \cdots X$ . More generally, let  $H \subset \mathbf{n}\mathfrak{S}$ ; then we use  $X^n/H$  to denote the species  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{n}, -)/H$ , i.e.  $X^n/H[E] =$  the set of all "left cosets" of  $H$  in  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{n}, E)$  where  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{n}, E)$  is the set of all bijections from  $\mathbf{n}$  to  $E$  ( $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{n}, E)$  is not a group). In fact  $X^n/H[\mathbf{n}] =$  the set of all left cosets of  $H$  in  $\mathbf{n}\mathfrak{S}$ .

**Example II.2.7.** The exponential species  $e^X = \mathbf{B}(-, \{*\})$  is defined by:  $e^X[E] = \{*\}$  for any finite set  $E$ , i.e. there is a unique  $e^X$ -structure on any finite set. We have:

$$e^X = \sum_{n \geq 0} X^n / \mathbf{n}\mathfrak{S}.$$

A species  $U$  is called a **molecule** if  $U \neq 0$ , and  $U = S + T$  implies either  $S = 0$  or  $T = 0$ . Every species is a (possibly infinite) sum of its molecular subspecies. The molecules are of the type:

$$X^n/H \quad \text{where } H \text{ is a subgroup of } \mathbf{n}\mathfrak{S}.$$

It is easy to prove that  $X^n/H = X^m/K$  iff  $n = m$  and  $H, K$  are conjugate in  $\mathbf{n}\mathfrak{S}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{M}_b$  denote the set of isomorphism classes of all molecular species and  $\mathfrak{M}_b^*$  denote the set of isomorphism classes of all non-constant molecular species.

**Proposition II.2.8** ([13]). Let  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $H \subset \mathbf{n}\mathfrak{S}$  and  $K \subset \mathbf{m}\mathfrak{S}$ , then:

$$(1) X^n/H \cdot X^m/K = X^{n+m}/(H * K) \quad \text{where } "*" \text{ is the external product.}$$

$$(2) X^n/H \times X^m/K = \begin{cases} \sum_L |L| |A_L| X^n/L & \text{if } n = m; \text{ where } A_L = \{gHg^{-1} \cap K = L\}. \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$(3) X^n/H \circ X^m/K = X^{mn}/(K \wr H) \quad \text{where } "\wr" \text{ is the wreath product.}$$

$$(4) (X^n/H)' = \sum_{e \in O_{n,H}} X^n/(H \cap (\mathbf{n} - \{e\})\mathfrak{S}) \quad \text{where } O_{n,H} \text{ denotes a complete set of representatives for the orbits of } H \text{ in } \mathbf{n}.$$

By propositions II.1.8 and II.2.8, we have

**Proposition II.2.9.**  $(\mathfrak{M}_b, \cdot)$  is a free commutative monoid.

**Definition II.2.10.** ([13]). A species  $S$  is called **finitary** if  $S[E]$  is finite for all  $E \in \mathbf{B}$ . A finitary species  $S$  is called **strictly finite** if  $\exists n > 0$  such that  $S[E] = \emptyset$  for all  $E \in \mathbf{B}$  with  $|E| > n$ .

The set of all finitary species (resp strictly finite species) forms a half-ring which

is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{N}[[\mathfrak{M}]]$  (resp  $\mathbb{N}[\mathfrak{M}]$ ). The universal ring  $\mathbf{V}$  (resp  $\mathbf{SV}$ ) containing this is called the **ring of virtual species** (or **Z-species**). Every element in  $\mathbf{V}$  can be represented as  $S - T$  where  $S$  and  $T$  are two species. The ring  $\mathbf{V}$  (resp  $\mathbf{SV}$ ) is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}[[\mathfrak{M}]]$  (resp  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{M}]$ ). From propositions II.2.4 and II.2.9, we have

**Theorem II.2.11.** These two rings  $\mathbb{Z}[[\mathfrak{M}]]$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{M}]$  are UFD's.

There are many identities involving  $+, \cdot, \times, \circ, ', 0$  and  $1$  ([3],[5],[13]). Let  $S, T$  and  $U$  be species, then

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| (i) $(S + T) \circ U = (S \circ U) + (T \circ U);$ | (ii) $(S \cdot T) \circ U = (S \circ U) \cdot (T \circ U);$ |
| (iii) $(S \circ T) \circ U = S \circ (T \circ U);$ | (iv) $(S + T)' = S' + T';$                                  |
| (v) $(S \cdot T)' = S' \cdot T + S \cdot T';$      | (vi) $(S \times T)' = S' \times T';$                        |
| (vii) $(S \circ T)' = (S' \circ T) \cdot T'$       | ... etc.  |

One objective is to extend all these identities to the setting of  $\mathbb{K}$ -species. This is done in chapter three.

### § II.3. d-species.

**Definition II.3.1** ([3]). Let  $d$  be an integer  $> 0$ . A **d-species** is a functor  $S: \mathbf{B}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$  and a **morphism**  $\tau$  from d-species  $S$  to d-species  $T$  is a natural transformation  $\tau$  from functor  $S$  to functor  $T$ .

Let  $S, T$  be d-species and  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_d$  be r-species (where  $d, r \in \mathbb{N}$ ). The sum  $S + T$ , product  $S \cdot T$ , cartesian product  $S \times T$ , partial derivatives  $(\partial S / \partial X_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , and substitution  $S \circ (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_d)$  are defined as follows:

**Definition II.3.2** ([3]). For any  $\mathbf{E} = (E_1, E_2, \dots, E_d) \in \mathbf{B}^d$  and  $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_r) \in \mathbf{B}^r$ , define

$$(a) (S + T)[\mathbf{E}] = S[\mathbf{E}] + T[\mathbf{E}] \quad (b) (S \cdot T)[\mathbf{E}] = \sum_{\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{E}} S[\mathbf{D}] \times T[\mathbf{E}]$$

where  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{E}$  means  $E_i = D_i + F_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ ,

$$(c) (S \times T)[\mathbf{E}] = S[\mathbf{E}] \times T[\mathbf{E}] \quad (d) (\partial S / \partial X_i)[\mathbf{E}] = S[\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{e}_i]$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_i = (F_1, F_2, \dots, F_d)$  with  $F_i = \{*\}$  and  $F_j = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ ,

$$(d) S \circ (T_1, \dots, T_d)[\mathbf{A}] = \sum_{\pi \in P[\mathbf{A}]} \sum_{f: \pi \rightarrow \mathbf{d}} S[(f^{-1}(1), \dots, f^{-1}(d))] \times \prod_{c \in \pi} T_{f(c)}[cA_1, \dots, cA_r]$$

where  $P[\mathbf{A}]$  denotes the set of all partitions of  $A_1 + \dots + A_d$ .

**Example II.3.3.**  $X_i = B^d(\underline{e}_i, -)$  where  $\underline{e}_i = (F_1, F_2, \dots, F_d)$  with  $F_i = \{*\}$  and  $F_j = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$ . For  $\underline{E} = (E_1, E_2, \dots, E_d) \in B^d$ ,  $X_i[\underline{E}] = \{*\}$  if  $E_i = \underline{e}_i$ ;  $X_i[\underline{E}] = \emptyset$  otherwise.

**Example II.3.4.**  $X_1^{n_1} \cdot X_2^{n_2} \cdots X_d^{n_d} = B^d(\underline{n}, -)$  where  $\underline{n} = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d)$ , and  $B^d(\underline{n}, \underline{E})$  is the set of all  $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d)$  where all  $f_i$  are bijections from  $n_i$  to  $E_i$ . Note that  $B^d(\underline{n}, \underline{E})$  is empty unless  $|E_i| = n_i$  for all  $i$ . More generally, let  $H \subset n_1 \mathbb{V} \times n_2 \mathbb{V} \times \cdots \times n_d \mathbb{V}$ , then  $(X_1^{n_1} \cdot X_2^{n_2} \cdots X_d^{n_d} / H)[\underline{E}]$  is the set of all "left cosets" of  $H$  in  $B^d(\underline{n}, \underline{E})$ ; we often use  $X_1^{n_1} \cdot X_2^{n_2} \cdots X_d^{n_d} / H$  to denote the  $d$ -species  $B^d(\underline{n}, -) / H$ .

As in the single variable case, every  $d$ -species is uniquely a (possibly infinite) sum of its molecular  $d$ -subspecies. The molecular  $d$ -species are of the type:

$$X_1^{n_1} \cdot X_2^{n_2} \cdots X_d^{n_d} / H \quad \text{where } H \subset n_1 \mathbb{V} \times n_2 \mathbb{V} \times \cdots \times n_d \mathbb{V}.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{M}_d$  be the set of all isomorphism classes of molecular  $d$ -species.

**Definition II.3.5** ([13]). Let  $n_i, m_i \in \mathbb{N}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ ,  $H \subset n_1 \mathbb{V} \times \cdots \times n_d \mathbb{V}$ ,  $K \subset m_1 \mathbb{V} \times \cdots \times m_d \mathbb{V}$ . For any  $h = (h_1, \dots, h_d) \in H$ ,  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in K$  ( $h_i$  and  $k_i$  are the restriction of  $h, k$  to  $n_i, m_i$  respectively for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ ) and  $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_d) \in (n_1 + m_1) \times \cdots \times (n_d + m_d)$ , we define:  $(h *_d k)(u) = (g_1(u_1), g_2(u_2), \dots, g_d(u_d))$  where  $g_i(u_i) = h_i(u_i)$  if  $u_i \in n_i$ ;  $g_i(u_i) = k_i(u_i)$  if  $u_i \in m_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , and  $H *_d K = \{h *_d k \mid h \in H \text{ and } k \in K\}$ .

From the above definition, we have

$$(X_1^{n_1} \cdots X_d^{n_d} / H) \cdot (X_1^{m_1} \cdots X_d^{m_d} / K) = X_1^{n_1 + m_1} \cdots X_d^{n_d + m_d} / (H *_d K).$$

**Lemma II.3.6.**  $(\mathfrak{M}_d, \cdot)$  is a free commutative monoid.

**Theorem II.3.7.** The ring of virtual finitary species  $\mathbf{Z}[\{\mathfrak{M}_d\}]$  and the ring of virtual strictly finite species  $\mathbf{Z}[\mathfrak{M}_d]$  are UFD's.

**Proposition II.3.8** ([13]). Let  $n_i, m_i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $K_i \subset m_i \mathbb{V}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ ,  $H \subset n_1 \mathbb{V} \times \cdots \times n_d \mathbb{V}$ , and  $K \subset m_1 \mathbb{V} \times \cdots \times m_d \mathbb{V}$ , then

$$(X_1^{n_1} \cdots X_d^{n_d} / H) \circ (X_1^{m_1} / K_1, \dots, X_d^{m_d} / K_d) = (X_1^{n_1 m_1 + \dots + n_d m_d} / (K_1, \dots, K_d) \setminus H)$$

$$(X_1^{n_1} \cdots X_d^{n_d} / H) \times (X_1^{m_1} \cdots X_d^{m_d} / K) = \begin{cases} \sum_L |L| \cdot |A_{d,L}| \cdot (X_1^{n_1} \cdots X_d^{n_d} / L) & \text{if } m_i = n_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq d; \\ 0 & \text{if } m_i \neq n_i \text{ for some } i. \end{cases}$$

where  $A_{d,L} = \{g \in n_1 \mathbb{V} \times n_2 \mathbb{V} \times \cdots \times n_d \mathbb{V} \mid gHg^{-1} \cap K = L\}$ .



Just as in the case of one variable, there are many identities involving the operations  $+$ ,  $\cdot$ ,  $\times$ ,  $\circ$  and  $'$  in  $d$ -species ([3]). We can also extend those identities of  $d$ -variable species to the setting of  $d$ -variable  $\mathbb{K}$ -species.

#### § II.4. $\mathbb{K}$ -species.

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a half-ring. We can extend the operations  $+$ ,  $\cdot$ ,  $\times$  and  $'$  to the set

$$\mathbb{K}[[\mathfrak{M}]] = \{ \sum_{T \in \mathfrak{M}} a_T T \mid a_T \in \mathbb{K} \}$$

as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & \left( \sum_{T \in \mathfrak{M}} a_T T \right) + \left( \sum_{T \in \mathfrak{M}} b_T T \right) = \sum_{T \in \mathfrak{M}} (a_T + b_T) T \\ (b) \quad & \left( \sum_{T \in \mathfrak{M}} a_T T \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{S \in \mathfrak{M}} b_S S \right) = \sum_{T, S \in \mathfrak{M}} (a_T \cdot b_S) (T \cdot S) \\ (c) \quad & \left( \sum_{T \in \mathfrak{M}} a_T T \right) \times \left( \sum_{S \in \mathfrak{M}} b_S S \right) = \sum_{T, S \in \mathfrak{M}} (a_T \cdot b_S) (T \times S) \\ (d) \quad & \left( \sum_{T \in \mathfrak{M}} a_T T \right)' = \sum_{T \in \mathfrak{M}} a_T T'. \end{aligned}$$

Of course, the terms must be collected on the right sides of (b), (c), (d). It is possible to do so because: given a molecular species  $M$ , there are only finitely many pairs of molecular species  $(S, T)$  such that  $M = S \cdot T$ , finitely many pairs of molecular species  $(U, V)$  such that  $M$  is a subspecies of  $U \times V$ , and finitely many molecular species  $W'$  such that  $M$  is a subspecies of  $W'$ .

Let  $\sigma$  be the unique half-ring homomorphism:  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ ; then  $\sigma$  induces a half-ring homomorphism  $\hat{\sigma}: \mathbb{N}[[\mathfrak{M}]] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[[\mathfrak{M}]]$ . The homomorphism preserves  $+$ ,  $\cdot$ ,  $\times$  and  $'$ . We hope to extend the concept of substitution,  $\circ$ , to  $\mathbb{K}[[\mathfrak{M}]]$  in such a way that  $\hat{\sigma}$  preserves  $\circ$  and all the identities involving  $+$ ,  $\cdot$ ,  $\times$ ,  $\circ$ ,  $'$  continue to hold.

Unfortunately it cannot succeed for all half-rings. For example:

(1) Let  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_2$ , then  $(X^2/2\mathfrak{V}) \circ (X+X) = (X^2/2\mathfrak{V}) \circ (0) = 0$ , but  $(X^2/2\mathfrak{V}) \circ (X+X) = (X^2/2\mathfrak{V}) + X^2 + (X^2/2\mathfrak{V}) = X^2$ . This is a contradiction.

(2) Let  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Let  $(X^2/2\mathfrak{V}) \circ (iX) = aX^2 + b(X^2/2\mathfrak{V})$  since  $\deg((X^2/2\mathfrak{V}) \circ (iX)) = 2$ . (Here we are assuming a bit more about the extended substitution, namely that degrees multiply under substitution of  $\mathbb{K}$ -species of the form scalar times molecule.) More detailed computations show that  $(a, b) = (i, (-1-i)/2)$  or  $(i, (-1+i)/2)$ . This is a contradiction since  $b \notin \mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

For examples above, we want  $\binom{1}{2} = (-1-i)/2 \in \mathbb{K}$  if  $i \in \mathbb{K}$ . This suggests that some special half-rings, "binomial half-rings", will satisfy our desire.

**Definition II.4.1** ([13]). A half-ring  $\mathbb{K}$  is called a **binomial half-ring** if

- (a) there exists a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra  $\mathbb{L}$  containing  $\mathbb{K}$ , and
- (b) for every  $a \in \mathbb{K}$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\binom{a}{i} = a(a-1)(a-2)\dots(a-i+1)/i! \in \mathbb{K}$ .

For example  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}[i]$  and  $\mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Q}\epsilon$  ( $\epsilon^2 = 0$ ) are all binomial half-rings, but  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ,  $p$  prime, and  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  are not binomial half-rings.

**Definition II.4.2** ([13]). Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a binomial half-ring. A  $\mathbb{K}$ -**species** is an element  $S$  of  $\mathbb{K}[[\mathfrak{M}]]$ , i.e. a formal linear combination of the molecular species with coefficients in  $\mathbb{K}$ .

The concepts of species (resp. virtual species) and  $\mathbb{N}$ -species (resp.  $\mathbb{Z}$ -species) coincide.

### Chapter III: The calculus of $\mathbb{K}$ -species

#### § III.1. Extension of substitution to $\mathbb{K}$ -species.

In this section,  $\mathbb{K}$  is a given binomial half-ring. We will define the operation  $\circ$  for  $\mathbb{K}$ -species and prove that the identities in chapter II involving  $\circ$  continue to hold.

**Proposition III.1.1.** Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be two species, then  $e^{T_1+T_2} = e^{T_1} \cdot e^{T_2}$ .

**Notation III.1.2.** a) Let  $\mathbb{L}$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra,  $a \in \mathbb{L}$  and  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We write

$$\binom{a}{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n} = a(a-1)\dots(a-\Sigma r + 1) / r_1! r_2! \dots r_n!$$

where  $\Sigma r$  means  $r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_n$ .

b) Let  $(p_j)_{j \in J}$  be a family of formal variables. We denote by  $\mathbb{N}[\binom{p_j}{j}]$  the sub half-ring of  $\mathbb{Q}[(p_j)_{j \in J}]$  generated by the polynomials  $\binom{p_j}{j}$ ,  $j \in J$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Remark III.1.3.** If  $f((p_j)_{j \in J}) \in \mathbb{N}[\binom{p_j}{j}]$  and  $(a_j)_{j \in J}$  is an arbitrary family of elements of the binomial half-ring  $\mathbb{K}$ , then  $f((a_j)_{j \in J}) \in \mathbb{K}$ .

We also have

$$\binom{a}{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n} = \binom{a}{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n} \binom{a}{\Sigma r} \in \mathbb{N}[\binom{a}{i}].$$

**Corollary III.1.4.** For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$e^{nX} = (e^X)^n = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{r_1+2r_2+\dots+kr_k=k} (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k) (X/1\mathbb{V})^{r_1} \cdot (X^2/2\mathbb{V})^{r_2} \dots (X^k/k\mathbb{V})^{r_k}$$

$$= \sum_{M \in \mathbb{M}_b} g_M(n) M$$

where all  $r_i$  are non-negative integers and all  $g_M(p) \in \mathbb{N}[\langle \mathbb{P} \rangle]$ .

**Proposition III 1.5.**  $S \times e^{nX} = S \circ (nX)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to show that for any  $E \in \mathbb{B}$ ,

$$(S \circ (nX))(E) = S[E] \times n^E$$

In particular for  $S = e^X$ , this gives  $e^{nX}[E] = n^E$ . Substituting this back into the above equality gives

$$(S \circ (nX))(E) = S[E] \times e^{nX}[E].$$

Naturality in  $E$  is easily verified, so the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Lemma III 1.6.**  $(\sum_{A \in \mathbb{M}_d} a_A A) \cdot (\sum_{A \in \mathbb{M}_d} b_A A) = \sum_{A \in \mathbb{M}_d} c_A A$ , where  $c_A = \sum_{A_1 \cdot A_2 = A} a_{A_1} b_{A_2}$  is a finite sum.

**Lemma III 1.7.**  $(\sum_{A \in \mathbb{M}_d} a_A A) \times (\sum_{A \in \mathbb{M}_d} b_A A) = \sum_{A \in \mathbb{M}_d} c_A A$ , where  $c_A = \sum_{A_1 \cdot A_2 = A} n_{A, A_1, A_2} a_{A_1} b_{A_2}$  is a finite sum, with  $n_{A, A_1, A_2} \in \mathbb{N}$  defined by  $A_1 \times A_2 = \sum_{A \in \mathbb{M}_d} n_{A, A_1, A_2} A$ .

**Proposition III 1.8.** Let  $S$  be a species and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $S(nX) = \sum_{M \in \mathbb{M}_b} f_M(n) M$  for some  $f_M(p) \in \mathbb{N}[\langle \mathbb{P} \rangle]$ .

Now, we can extend proposition III 1.8 to  $\mathbb{K}$ -species:

**Definition III 1.9.** Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a binomial half-ring,  $a \in \mathbb{K}$  and  $S$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -species. Then  $S(aX) = \sum_{M \in \mathbb{M}_b} f_M(a) M$  with  $f_M(p)$  defined in proposition III 1.8.

Tables 4 and 5 give  $S(-X)$  and  $S(nX)$  for molecular species of small degree.

**Lemma III 1.10.**  $X_1^{n_1} \dots X_d^{n_d} / H \circ (X_1^{m_1} / K_1, \dots, X_d^{m_d} / K_d) = X_1^{m_1 n_1 + \dots + m_d n_d} / ((K_1, K_2, \dots, K_d) \setminus H)$  where  $n_i, m_i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $K_i \subset m_i \mathbb{V}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , and  $H \subset n_1 \mathbb{V} \times n_2 \mathbb{V} \times \dots \times n_d \mathbb{V}$ .

**Corollary III 1.11.** Let  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_d$  be  $d$ -species, then  $e^{T_1 + T_2 + \dots + T_d} = e^{T_1} \cdot e^{T_2} \dots e^{T_d}$ .

**Lemma III 1.12.**  $e^X \circ (n_1 X_1 + \dots + n_d X_d) = \sum_{A \in \mathbb{M}_d} f_A(n_1, \dots, n_d) A$

Similar arguments prove all the identities involving  $+$ ,  $\cdot$ ,  $\times$ ,  $\circ$ ,  $'$ ,  $0$  and  $1$ . Substitution for several-variable  $\mathbb{K}$ -species is defined in the analogous way and identities from actual species can be lifted to these by arguments similar to the one variable case.

### § III.2. The $\mathbb{K}$ -species SIN, COS, and LG.

The trigonometric functions,  $\cos x$  and  $\sin x$  have properties such as  $(\sin x)' = \cos x$ ,  $(\cos x)' = -\sin x$  and  $\sin^2 x + \cos^2 x = 1$ . Here we try to find some special  $\mathbb{K}$ -species which have similar properties.

In fact, we can't find any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -species (=virtual species) which have the properties above. Suppose  $S$  and  $C$  are two  $\mathbb{Z}$ -species with  $S_0 = 0$ ,  $C_0 = 1$  such that  $S' = C$ ,  $C' = -S$  and  $S \cdot S + C \cdot C = 1$ .

Let  $S = a_1 X + a_2 X^2 + a_3 X^2/2! + \dots$  and  $C = 1 + b_1 X + b_2 X^2 + b_3 X^2/2! + \dots$ . We have:

- (i)  $a_1 + (2a_2 + a_3)X + \dots = 1 + b_1 X + \dots$ , since  $S' = C$ ;
- (ii)  $b_1 + (2b_2 + b_3)X + \dots = -(a_1 X + \dots)$ , since  $C' = -S$ ;
- (iii)  $1 + 2b_1 X + (a_1^2 + b_1^2 + 2b_2)X^2 + 2b_3 X^2/2! + \dots = 1$ , since  $S \cdot S + C \cdot C = 1$ .

Comparing the coefficients of each molecular species on both sides, we have:  $a_1 = 1$ ,  $b_1 = 0$ , and  $a_1^2 + b_1^2 + 2b_2 = 1 + 2b_2 = 0$ . This is a contradiction since  $b_2 \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Definition III.2.1.** Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a binomial ring containing  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then

$$\text{COS } X = 1/2 (e^{iX} + e^{-iX}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{SIN } X = -i/2 (e^{iX} - e^{-iX}).$$

Of course, in this definition,  $e^{iX}$  and  $e^{-iX}$  are both computed by substituting  $i$  for  $1$  in corollary III.1.4. Let the ring homomorphism  $\sigma: \mathbb{Q}[i] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[i]$  be defined by:  $a + bi \mapsto a - bi$ . The induced homomorphism  $\hat{\sigma}: \mathbb{Q}[i][[\mathbb{M}]] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[i][[\mathbb{M}]]$  fixes species **SIN** and species **COS**. So **SIN**, **COS**  $\in \mathbb{Q}[[\mathbb{M}]]$ .

**Proposition III.2.2.** Let  $S$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -species with  $S_0 = 0$ . If  $e^X \circ S = 1$  then  $S = 0$ .

**Proof.**  $1 = e^X \circ S = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{r_1 + 2r_2 + \dots + nr_n = n} ((X^{r_1}/r_1!) \circ (S_1)) \cdot ((X^{r_2}/r_2!) \circ (S_2)) \cdot \dots \cdot ((X^{r_n}/r_n!) \circ (S_n))$  where  $r_i \geq 0$  for all  $i$ . Comparing terms of degree  $n$  on both sides gives:

$$0 = \sum_{r_1 + 2r_2 + \dots + nr_n = n} ((X^{r_1}/r_1!) \circ (S_1)) \cdot ((X^{r_2}/r_2!) \circ (S_2)) \cdot \dots \cdot ((X^{r_n}/r_n!) \circ (S_n))$$

The  $n$ -th equation has highest term  $S_n$  (from  $r_1 = \dots = r_{n-1} = 0$ ,  $r_n = 1$ ) and all lower

where  $f_A(p_1, \dots, p_d) \in \mathbb{N}[\binom{p_i}{j}]_{1 \leq i \leq d}$

**Lemma III.1.13.** For any  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $d$ -species  $S$ , we have:

$$S \circ (n_1 X_1, n_2 X_2, \dots, n_d X_d) = S \times (e^X \circ (n_1 X_1 + \dots + n_d X_d)).$$

**Lemma III.1.14.** Let  $M_1, \dots, M_d \in \mathfrak{M}^*$ , then  $(\sum_{A \in \mathfrak{M}_d} a_A A) \circ (M_1, \dots, M_d) = \sum_{B \in \mathfrak{M}_B} c_B B$  where  $c_B = \sum (a_A \mid A \in \mathfrak{M}_d, A \circ (M_1, M_2, \dots, M_d) = B)$ , a finite sum.

**Lemma III.1.15.** Let  $T$  be species,  $A_j \in \mathfrak{M}^*$  for  $1 \leq j \leq d$ . Then we have  $T \circ (n_1 A_1 + \dots + n_d A_d) = \sum_{B \in \mathfrak{M}_B} f_B(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d) B$ , where  $f_B(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_d) \in \mathbb{N}[\binom{p_i}{j}]_{1 \leq j \leq d}$  for all  $B \in \mathfrak{M}_B$ .

**Remark III.1.16.** Let  $S$  be a species and  $S \circ (\sum_{A \in \mathfrak{M}_B} n_A A) = \sum_{B \in \mathfrak{M}_B} f_B((n_A)_{A \in \mathfrak{M}_B}) B$  where  $f_B$  depends only on  $S$  and on the  $n_A$ 's with  $\deg A \leq \deg B$ . So we have:

**Proposition III.1.17.** Let  $T$  be a species, then

$$T \circ (\sum_{A \in \mathfrak{M}^*} n_A A) = \sum_{B \in \mathfrak{M}_B} f_B((n_A)_{A \in \mathfrak{M}_B}) B \quad \text{where } f_B((n_A)_{A \in \mathfrak{M}_B}) \in \mathbb{N}[\binom{p_A}{j}]_{A \in \mathfrak{M}_B}.$$

**Definition III.1.18** ([13]). Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a binomial half-ring and  $S, T$  be two  $\mathbb{K}$ -species with  $T = \sum_{A \in \mathfrak{M}^*} n_A A$  for  $n_A \in \mathbb{K}$ . The **substitution** of  $T$  in  $S$ ,  $S \circ T$ , is defined by

$$\sum_{B \in \mathfrak{M}_B} f_B((n_A)_{A \in \mathfrak{M}_B}) B \quad \text{with } f_B((n_A)_{A \in \mathfrak{M}_B}) \text{ given in proposition III.1.17.}$$

If  $S$  is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -species, then  $S = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_H a_{nH} \cdot X^n / H$  where  $a_{nH} \in \mathbb{K}$  and  $H$  ranges over representatives for the conjugacy classes of subgroups of  $n\mathfrak{S}$ .  $S_n$  denotes the  $n$ -th term of the outer sum. (If  $S$  is an actual species, then  $S_n[E] = S[E]$  if  $|E| = n$ ;  $S_n[E] = \emptyset$  if  $|E| \neq n$ .)

**Theorem III.1.19.** Let  $S, T$  and  $U$  be  $\mathbb{K}$ -species with  $T_0 = U_0 = 0$ , then

$$(S \circ T) \circ U = S \circ (T \circ U)$$

**Proof.** Let  $S = \sum_{A \in \mathfrak{M}_B} s_A A$ ,  $T = \sum_{B \in \mathfrak{M}_B} t_B B$  and  $U = \sum_{C \in \mathfrak{M}_B} u_C C$ . We have

$$(S \circ T) \circ U = \sum_{M \in \mathfrak{M}_B} f_M((s_A, t_B, u_C)_{A, B, C \in \mathfrak{M}_B}) M, \quad S \circ (T \circ U) = \sum_{M \in \mathfrak{M}_B} g_M((s_A, t_B, u_C)_{A, B, C \in \mathfrak{M}_B}) M$$

where  $f_M((p_A, q_B, r_C)_{A, B, C \in \mathfrak{M}_B})$ ,  $g_M((p_A, q_B, r_C)_{A, B, C \in \mathfrak{M}_B}) \in \mathbb{N}[\binom{p_A}{i}, \binom{q_B}{j}, \binom{r_C}{k}]_{A, B, C \in \mathfrak{M}_B}$ .

By associativity of substitution for actual species,  $f_M$  and  $g_M$  agree when natural number are substituted for  $p_A$ ,  $q_B$  and  $r_C$ , and hence they agree when arbitrary elements of  $\mathbb{K}$  are substituted.  $\square$

terms involve only  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{n-1}$ . The system of equations can be solved recursively. We have  $S_n = 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ . i.e.  $S = 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary III.2.3.** Let  $S, T$  be two  $\mathbb{Z}$ -species such that  $S_0 = T_0 = 0$  and  $e^X \circ S = e^X \circ T$  then  $S = T$ .

**Definition III.2.4.** The species  $LG = \sum_{k \geq 0} S_k$  is recursively defined by

$$S_0 = 0, \quad S_1 = -X$$

and

$$\sum_{r_1+2r_2+\dots+nr_n=n} ((X^{r_1}/r_1!) \circ (S_1)) \circ ((X^{r_2}/r_2!) \circ (S_2)) \circ \dots \circ ((X^{r_n}/r_n!) \circ (S_n)) = 0, \quad n \geq 2.$$

**Proposition III.2.5.**  $e^X \circ LG \circ X = 1 - X$ .

Let  $V_i = \{T \in \mathbb{Z}[[\mathbb{N}]] \mid T_0 = i\}$  then  $T \mapsto e^T (= e^X \circ T)$  gives a group homomorphism  $\exp: (V_0, +) \rightarrow (V_1, \cdot)$ . From the propositions III.1.1, III.2.2 and III.2.5, we know that  $\exp$  is a group isomorphism and that its inverse  $\log$  is given by:  $T \mapsto LG(1 - T)$  ( $\log$  is not a species).

**Proposition III.2.6.**  $LG(1 - S \cdot T) = LG(1 - S) + LG(1 - T)$  for any  $S, T \in V_1$ .

### NOTATION FOR TABLES

$n!$  = The group of all permutations on  $n$ ;  $A_n$  = The group of all even permutations on  $n$ ;  
 $C_n$  = The cyclic subgroup of  $n!$  generated by  $(12\dots n)$ ;  $D_n$  = The dihedral group of order  $2n$ ;  
 $A \cdot B$  = The direct product of group  $A$  and group  $B$ ;  $K_4 = \{id, (12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23)\}$ ;  
 $H = \{id, (12)(34)\}$ ;  $L = \{id, (123), (132), (12)(45), (13)(45), (23)(45)\} = A_5 \cap \text{Stabilizer}\{4,5\}$ ;  
 $T =$  The normalizer of  $C_5 =$  The affine group  $\{ax + b \mid a, b \in \mathbb{F}_5, a \neq 0\} = \{id, (12345), (13524), (14253), (15432), (2354), (25)(34), (2453), (1534), (13)(45), (1435), (1452), (15)(24), (1254), (1523), (12)(35), (1325), (1243), (14)(23), (1342)\}$ .

### The cartesian product between molecular species of degree $\leq 3$

$X \times X = X$			
$X^2 \times X^2 = 2X^2$	$X^2 \times X^2/2! = X^2$	$X^2/2! \times X^2/2! = X^2/2!$	
$X^3 \times X^3 = 6X^3$	$X^3 \times X^3/2! = 3X^3$	$X^3 \times X^3/A_3 = 2X^3$	$X^3 \times X^3/3! = X^3$
$X^3/2! \times X^3/2! = X^3/2! + X^3$	$X^3/2! \times X^3/A_3 = X^3$	$X^3/2! \times X^3/3! = X^3/2!$	
$X^3/A_3 \times X^3/A_3 = 2X^3/A_3$	$X^3/A_3 \times X^3/3! = X^3/A_3$	$X^3/3! \times X^3/3! = X^3/3!$	

Table 1



The derivative of molecular species of degree  $\leq 5$ 

Molecular	Derivative	Molecular	Derivative
1	0	$X^5$	$5X^4$
X	1	$X^5/H$	$X^4/H + 2X^4$
$X^2$	$2X$	$X^5/2\mathcal{V}$	$3X^4/2\mathcal{V} + X^4$
$X^2/2\mathcal{V}$	X	$X^5/A_3$	$2X^4/A_3 + X^4$
$X^3$	$3X^2$	$X^5/C_4$	$X^4/C_4 + X^4$
$X^3/2\mathcal{V}$	$X^2/2\mathcal{V} + X^2$	$X^5/K_4$	$X^4/K_4 + X^4$
$X^3/A_3$	$X^2$	$X^5/2\mathcal{V} \cdot 2\mathcal{V}$	$X^4/2\mathcal{V} \cdot 2\mathcal{V} + 2X^4/2\mathcal{V}$
$X^3/3\mathcal{V}$	$X^2/2\mathcal{V}$	$X^5/C_5$	$X^4$
$X^4$	$4X^3$	$X^5/L$	$X^4/A_3 + X^4/H$
$X^4/H$	$2X^3$	$X^5/A_3 \cdot 2\mathcal{V}$	$X^4/A_3 + X^4/2\mathcal{V}$
$X^4/2\mathcal{V}$	$2X^3/2\mathcal{V} + X^3$	$X^5/3\mathcal{V}$	$2X^4/3\mathcal{V} + X^4/2\mathcal{V}$
$X^4/A_3$	$X^3/A_3 + X^3$	$X^5/D_4$	$X^4/D_4 + X^4/2\mathcal{V}$
$X^4/C_4$	$X^3$	$X^5/D_5$	$X^4/H$
$X^4/K_4$	$X^3$	$X^5/2\mathcal{V} \cdot 3\mathcal{V}$	$X^4/2\mathcal{V} \cdot 2\mathcal{V} + X^4/3\mathcal{V}$
$X^4/2\mathcal{V} \cdot 2\mathcal{V}$	$2X^3/2\mathcal{V}$	$X^5/A_4$	$X^4/A_4 + X^4/A_3$
$X^4/3\mathcal{V}$	$X^3/3\mathcal{V} + X^3/2\mathcal{V}$	$X^5/T$	$X^4/C_4$
$X^4/D_4$	$X^3/2\mathcal{V}$	$X^5/4\mathcal{V}$	$X^4/3\mathcal{V} + X^4/4\mathcal{V}$
$X^4/A_4$	$X^3/A_3$	$X^5/A_5$	$X^4/A_4$
$X^4/4\mathcal{V}$	$X^3/3\mathcal{V}$	$X^5/5\mathcal{V}$	$X^4/4\mathcal{V}$

Table 3



The substitution of  $-X$  in molecular species of degree  $\leq 5$ 

$1_0(-X) = 1$	$X_0(-X) = -X$
$X^2_0(-X) = X^2$	$X^2/2\sigma_0(-X) = X^2 - X^2/2\sigma$
$X^3_0(-X) = -X^3$ $X^3/A_3_0(-X) = -X^3/A_3$	$X^3/2\sigma_0(-X) = X^3/2\sigma - X^3$ $X^3/3\sigma_0(-X) = 2X^3/2\sigma - X^3 - X^3/3\sigma$
$X^4_0(-X) = X^4$ $X^4/2\sigma_0(-X) = X^4 - X^4/2\sigma$ $X^4/C_4_0(-X) = X^4/H - X^4/C_4$ $X^4/2\sigma \cdot 2\sigma_0(-X) = X^4/2\sigma \cdot 2\sigma + X^4 - 2X^4/2\sigma$ $X^4/D_4_0(-X) = X^4/2\sigma \cdot 2\sigma + X^4/H - X^4/2\sigma - X^4/D_4$ $X^4/4\sigma_0(-X) = X^4/2\sigma \cdot 2\sigma + 2X^4/3\sigma + X^4 - 3X^4/2\sigma - X^4/4\sigma$	$X^4/H_0(-X) = X^4/H$ $X^4/A_3_0(-X) = X^4/A_3$ $X^4/K_4_0(-X) = 3X^4/H - X^4 - X^4/K_4$ $X^4/3\sigma_0(-X) = X^4/3\sigma + X^4 - 2X^4/2\sigma$ $X^4/A_4_0(-X) = 2X^4/A_3 + X^4/H - X^4 - X^4/A_4$
$X^5_0(-X) = -X^5$ $X^5/2\sigma_0(-X) = X^5/2\sigma - X^5$ $X^5/C_4_0(-X) = X^5/C_4 - X^5/H$ $X^5/2\sigma \cdot 2\sigma_0(-X) = 2X^5/2\sigma - X^5 - X^5/2\sigma \cdot 2\sigma$ $X^5/L_0(-X) = X^5/L + X^5 - 2X^5/H - X^5/A_3$ $X^5/3\sigma_0(-X) = 2X^5/2\sigma - X^5 - X^5/3\sigma$ $X^5/D_5_0(-X) = -X^5/D_5$ $X^5/2\sigma \cdot 3\sigma_0(-X) = 3X^5/2\sigma + X^5/2\sigma \cdot 3\sigma - X^5/3\sigma - X^5 - 2X^5/2\sigma \cdot 2\sigma$ $X^5/A_4_0(-X) = X^5 + X^5/A_4 - 2X^5/A_3 - X^5/H$ $X^5/4\sigma_0(-X) = 3X^5/2\sigma + X^5/4\sigma - 2X^5/3\sigma - X^5 - X^5/2\sigma \cdot 2\sigma$ $X^5/A_5_0(-X) = 2X^5 + 2X^5/A_4 + 2X^5/L - 3X^5/A_3 - 3X^5/H - X^5/A_5$ $X^5/5\sigma_0(-X) = 2X^5/2\sigma \cdot 3\sigma + 2X^5/4\sigma + 4X^5/2\sigma - 3X^5/3\sigma - X^5/5\sigma - X^5 - 3X^5/2\sigma$	$X^5/H_0(-X) = -X^5/H$ $X^5/A_3_0(-X) = -X^5/A_3$ $X^5/K_4_0(-X) = X^5/K_4 + X^5 - 3X^5/H$ $X^5/C_5_0(-X) = -X^5/C_5$ $X^5/A_3 \cdot 2\sigma_0(-X) = X^5/2\sigma \cdot A_3 - X^5/A_3$ $X^5/D_4_0(-X) = X^5/2\sigma + X^5/D_4 - X^5/2\sigma \cdot 2\sigma - X^5/H$ $X^5/T_0(-X) = 2X^5/C_4 - X^5/T - X^5/H$

Table 4

The substitution of  $nX$  in molecular species of degree  $\leq 4$ 

$1 \circ (nX)$	$= 1$
$X \circ (nX)$	$= \binom{n}{1} X$
$X^2 \circ (nX)$	$= (\binom{n}{1} + 2\binom{n}{2}) X^2 = \binom{n}{1}^2 X^2$
$X^2 / 2\mathbb{V} \circ (nX)$	$= \binom{n}{2} X^2 + \binom{n}{1} X^2 / 2\mathbb{V}$
$X^3 \circ (nX)$	$= (\binom{n}{1} + 6\binom{n}{2} + 6\binom{n}{3}) X^3 = \binom{n}{1}^3 X^3$
$X^3 / 2\mathbb{V} \circ (nX)$	$= (\binom{n}{1} + 2\binom{n}{2}) X^3 / 2\mathbb{V} + (2\binom{n}{2} + 3\binom{n}{3}) X^3$
$X^3 / A_3 \circ (nX)$	$= \binom{n}{1} X^3 / A_3 + (2\binom{n}{2} + 2\binom{n}{3}) X^3$
$X^3 / 3\mathbb{V} \circ (nX)$	$= 2\binom{n}{2} X^3 / 2\mathbb{V} + \binom{n}{3} X^3 + \binom{n}{1} X^3 / 3\mathbb{V}$
$X^4 \circ (nX)$	$= (\binom{n}{1} + 14\binom{n}{2} + 36\binom{n}{3} + 24\binom{n}{4}) X^4 = \binom{n}{1}^4 X^4$
$X^4 / H \circ (nX)$	$= (\binom{n}{1} + 2\binom{n}{2}) X^4 / H + (6\binom{n}{2} + 18\binom{n}{3} + 12\binom{n}{4}) X^4$
$X^4 / 2\mathbb{V} \circ (nX)$	$= (4\binom{n}{2} + 15\binom{n}{3} + 12\binom{n}{4}) X^4 + (\binom{n}{1} + 6\binom{n}{2} + 6\binom{n}{3}) X^4 / 2\mathbb{V}$
$X^4 / A_3 \circ (nX)$	$= (4\binom{n}{2} + 12\binom{n}{3} + 8\binom{n}{4}) X^4 + (\binom{n}{1} + 2\binom{n}{2}) X^4 / A_3$
$X^4 / C_4 \circ (nX)$	$= \binom{n}{2} X^4 / H + \binom{n}{1} X^4 / C_4 + (3\binom{n}{2} + 9\binom{n}{3} + 6\binom{n}{4}) X^4$
$X^4 / K_4 \circ (nX)$	$= 3\binom{n}{2} X^4 / H + (2\binom{n}{2} + 9\binom{n}{3} + 6\binom{n}{4}) X^4 + \binom{n}{1} X^4 / K_4$
$X^4 / 2\mathbb{V} \cdot 2\mathbb{V} \circ (nX)$	$= (\binom{n}{1} + 2\binom{n}{2}) X^4 / 2\mathbb{V} \cdot 2\mathbb{V} + (\binom{n}{2} + 6\binom{n}{3} + 6\binom{n}{4}) X^4 + (4\binom{n}{2} + 6\binom{n}{3}) X^4 / 2\mathbb{V}$
$X^4 / 3\mathbb{V} \circ (nX)$	$= (\binom{n}{1} + 2\binom{n}{2}) X^4 / 3\mathbb{V} + (3\binom{n}{3} + 4\binom{n}{4}) X^4 + (4\binom{n}{2} + 6\binom{n}{3}) X^4 / 2\mathbb{V}$
$X^4 / D_4 \circ (nX)$	$= \binom{n}{2} X^4 / 2\mathbb{V} \cdot 2\mathbb{V} + \binom{n}{2} X^4 / H + (2\binom{n}{2} + 3\binom{n}{3}) X^4 / 2\mathbb{V} + \binom{n}{1} X^4 / D_4 + (3\binom{n}{3} + 3\binom{n}{4}) X^4$
$X^4 / A_4 \circ (nX)$	$= 2\binom{n}{2} X^4 / A_3 + \binom{n}{2} X^4 / H + (3\binom{n}{3} + 2\binom{n}{4}) X^4 + \binom{n}{1} X^4 / A_4$
$X^4 / 4\mathbb{V} \circ (nX)$	$= \binom{n}{2} X^4 / 2\mathbb{V} \cdot 2\mathbb{V} + 2\binom{n}{2} X^4 / 3\mathbb{V} + \binom{n}{4} X^4 + 3\binom{n}{3} X^4 / 2\mathbb{V} + \binom{n}{1} X^4 / 4\mathbb{V}$

Table 5

## REFERENCES

- [1] N. Bourbaki, "Algebra". Paris: Hermann, 1942-1962.
- [2] N. Bourbaki, "Algèbre Commutative". Paris: Herman, 1962.
- [3] A. Joyal, "Une théorie combinatoire des séries formelles". Adv. in Math. Vol. 42, No. 1, pp. 1-82, Octobre 1981.
- [4] A. Joyal, "Règle des signes en algèbre combinatoire", C.R. Acad. Sci., Soc. Roy., Canada, Vol. VII, No. 5, October 1985, pp. 285-290.
- [5] J. Labelle, "Applications diverses de la théorie combinatoire des espèces de structures". Ann. Sc. Math. du Québec, Vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 59-94, 1983.
- [6] J. Labelle, "Quelques espèces sur les ensembles de petite cardinalité". Ann. Sc. Math. du Québec, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 31-58, 1985.
- [7] G. Labelle, "Une nouvelle démonstration combinatoire des formules d'inversion de Lagrange". Adv. in Math. Vol. 42, pp. 217-247, 1981.
- [8] G. Labelle, "On Combinatorial Differential Equations ". J. of Math. Analysis and Applications. Acad. Press. (to appear).
- [9] P. Leroux and G. Viennot. "Combinatorial resolution of systems of differential equations ,I. Ordinary differential equations ". (This volume).
- [10] S. MacLane, "Categories for the working Mathematician". New York: Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [11] D.S. Passman, "Permutation Group", New York: Benjamin, 1969.
- [12] B.R. Tennison, "Sheaf Theory", New York: Cambridge University Press, 1975.
- [13] Y.N Yeh, "On the combinatorial species of Joyal", Ph.D. Thesis. State University of NY at Buffalo, 1985.